

Notice of the Ordinary meeting of

Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

Agenda | Rārangi take



Date:	19 November 2024
Time:	9:30 AM
Location:	Council Chamber, Floor 2A, Civic House, 110 Trafalgar Street, Nelson

Chairperson

His Worship the Mayor of Nelson Hon Dr Nick Smith

Alternating Members

His Worship the Mayor of Tasman Tim King

Nelson City Council:

DM Rohan O'Neill-Stevens
 Cr Matty Anderson
 Cr Matthew Benge
 Cr Trudie Brand
 Cr Mel Courtney
 Cr James Hodgson
 Cr Kahu Paki Paki
 Cr Pete Rainey
 Cr Campbell Rollo
 Cr Rachel Sanson
 Cr Tim Skinner
 Cr Aaron Stallard

Tasman District Council:

DM Stuart Bryant
 Cr Celia Butler
 Cr Glen Daikee
 Cr Barry Dowler
 Cr Jo Ellis
 Cr Mark Greening
 Cr Chris Hill
 Cr Mike Kinninmonth
 Cr Christeen MacKenzie
 Cr Kit Maling
 Cr Brent Maru
 Cr Dan Shallcrass
 Cr Trindi Walker

Quorum 14

At least five must be from each Council

Nigel Philpott
Chief Executive

governance.advisers@ncc.govt.nz
www.nelson.govt.nz

Nelson City Council Disclaimer

Please note that the contents of these Council and Committee agendas have yet to be considered by Council and staff recommendations may be altered or changed by the Council in the process of making the formal Council decision. For enquiries call (03) 5460436.

Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

This committee is a joint committee of Nelson City and Tasman District Councils, established in accordance with clause 30A, Schedule 7 of the Local Government Act 2002.

Areas of Responsibility:

Matters relating to Statements of Expectation for all jointly owned Council Controlled Organisations (CCOs) and Council Controlled Trading Organisations (CCTOs).

Receipt of six-monthly presentations from Infrastructure Holding Ltd, Port Nelson Limited, Nelson Airport Limited and Tasman Bays Heritage Trust.

Discussion of policies, initiatives or directives stemming from central Government or external agencies, that involve cross-boundary issues.

Implementation of the Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy.

Joint Transport planning matters referred to the Committee by the Joint Nelson Tasman Regional Transport Committee.

Powers to Decide:

To determine the strategic direction to be given to jointly owned CCOs and CCTOs through Statements of Expectation.

To adopt, approve, review and amend the Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy and Implementation Plan.

In matters relating to the Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy, to undertake community engagement, including all steps relating to Special Consultative Procedures or other formal consultation processes.

To decide on any public transport matters referred to the Committee by the Joint Nelson Tasman Regional Transport Committee, excluding budget changes or decisions that would exceed approved budgets within each Council.

To consider and make decisions on recommendations from the Saxton Field Committee regarding changes to the Saxton Field Management Plan in respect of alcohol advertising.

Powers to Recommend to Councils:

All other matters requiring decision will be recommended to Nelson City and Tasman District Council, subject to an equivalent resolution being adopted by the other Council.

Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

19 November 2024

Page No.

Order of Business

Karakia and Mihi Timatanga

- 1. Apologies**
- 2. Confirmation of Order of Business**
- 3. Interests**
- 4. Public Forum**
- 5. Confirmation of Minutes**
 - 5.1 12 March 2024 6 - 10
 - 5.2 9 July 2024 11 - 13
 - 5.3 23 July 2024 14 - 17
- 6. Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy - Implementation Plan 2024 18 - 59**
- 7. National Policy Statement on Urban Development Monitoring Report 2024 60 - 83**
- 8. Infrastructure Holdings Limited group board review - amendment of delegations Error! Bookmark not defined. - 88**
- 9. Six monthly strategic presentation (IHL, Port and Airport)**
- 10. Six-Monthly Strategic Presentation - Tasman Bays Heritage Trust**
- 11. Exclusion of the Public**

Karakia Whakamutanga

Procedural Items

1. Apologies

2. Confirmation of Order of Business

3. Interests

4. Public Forum

4.1 Tāhuna Beach Camp Inc, Nelson Airport Noise Action Council Inc, Tāhunanui Business and Citizens Association and the Tāhunanui Community Hub - Infrastructure Holdings Ltd Statement of Expectations 2024/25

Chairperson, Steve Cross, from Tāhuna Beach Camp Inc, Chairperson, Lew Solomon, from the Nelson Airport Noise Action Council Inc and Chairperson, Jacinda Stevenson, from the Tāhunanui Business and Citizens Association and member of the Tāhunanui Community Hub, will speak about Infrastructure Holdings Ltd Statement of Expectations 2024/25.

5. Confirmation of Minutes

5.1 12 March 2024 6 - 10

Document number M20482

Recommendation

That the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

- 1. Confirms the minutes of the meeting of the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils, held on 12 March 2024, as a true and correct record.**

5.2 9 July 2024 11 - 13

Document number M20648

Recommendation

That the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

- 1. Confirms the minutes of the meeting of the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils, held on 9 July 2024, as a true and correct record.**

5.3 23 July 2024 14 - 17

Document number M20661

Recommendation

That the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

- 1. Confirms the minutes of the meeting of the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils, held on 23 July 2024, as a true and correct record.**



**Minutes of a meeting of the
Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils
*Te Kōmiti Mahi Tahī***

**Held in the Council Chamber, Civic House, 110 Trafalgar Street,
Nelson on Tuesday 12 March 2024, commencing at 9.31a.m.**

- Present: Tasman District Council (TDC): His Worship the Mayor of Tasman T King (Chairperson), Deputy Mayor of Tasman S Bryant, Councillors G Daikee, B Dowler, J Ellis, C Hill, M Kininmonth, C Mackenzie, K Maling, D Shallcrass and T Walker
- Nelson City Council (NCC): His Worship the Mayor of Nelson N Smith, Deputy Mayor of Nelson R O'Neill-Stevens, Councillors T Brand, M Courtney, J Hodgson, K Paki Paki, T Skinner and A Stallard
- In Attendance: Tasman District Council: Chief Executive (L Rae), Group Manager Finance (M Drummond), Group Manager Community Infrastructure (R Kirby) and Group Manager Service and Strategy (J Kidd)
- Nelson City Council: Chief Executive (N Philpott), Group Manager Corporate Services (N Harrison), Group Manager Environmental Management (M Bishop), Team Leader Governance (R Byrne) and Assistant Governance Adviser (M Taylor)
- Apologies: Apologies have been received from Tasman District Councillors C Butler, B Maru, M Greening and Nelson City Councillors M Bengé, P Rainey, C Rollo and R Sanson

1. Apologies

Resolved JC/2024/001

That the Joint Committee

- 1. Receives and accepts the apologies from Tasman District Council Councillors C Butler, M Greening, B Maru and Nelson City Council**

Councillors M Benge, P Rainey, C Rollo and R Sanson.

His Worship the Mayor/Skinner

Carried

2. Confirmation of Order of Business

There was no change to the order of business.

3. Interests

There were no updates to the Interests Register, and no interests with items on the agenda were declared.

4. Public Forum

There was no public forum.

5. Confirmation of Minutes

5.1 14 November 2023

Document number M20357, agenda pages 5 - 14 refer.

The minutes were amended to reflect that Councillor M Kininmonth had attended the meeting on 14 November 2023.

Resolved JC/2024/002

That the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

- 1. Confirms the amended minutes of the meeting of the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils, held on 14 November 2023, as a true and correct record.***

Maling/Paki Paki

Carried

6. Nelson Airport Limited, Port Nelson Limited and Infrastructure Holdings Limited six-month strategic presentations

Document number R28387

Nelson Airport Limited

Chief Executive Officer, Mark Thompson and Chief Financial Officer, Adrian Ferris from Nelson Airport Limited provided a strategic presentation (tabled 1982984479-7296) and answered questions on scope 1,2 and 3 emissions reporting.

Attendance: Councillors Courtney and Hodgson joined the meeting at 9.49a.m.

Port Nelson Limited

Chief Executive Officer, Hugh Morrison from Port Nelson Limited introduced Group Manager Operations, Matt McDonald and provided a strategic presentation (tabled 1982984479-7303) and answered

questions on hydrogen trucks, cruise ship schedules, innovation and environmental efficiencies.

Infrastructure Holdings Limited (IHL)

Chairperson, Sue Sheldon and Chief Executive Officer, Hugh Morrison from Infrastructure Holdings Ltd provided a strategic presentation (tabled 1982984479-7302) and answered questions on debt consolidation and refinancing.

In response to a request to include information on the net benefit of consolidating in the IHL Annual Report, Ms Sheldon advised it was difficult to quantify, particularly for the first year but they would look at how to present this in future.

Attachments

- 1 1982984479-7296 Nelson Airport Strategic Presentation
- 2 1982984479-7303 Port Nelson Strategic presentation
- 3 1982984479-7302 Infrastructure Holdings Strategic Presentation

Attendance: Councillor Hill left the meeting at 10.20a.m.

7. Urban Development Entity Investigation

Document number R28361, agenda pages 15 - 60 refer.

NCC Strategic Housing Adviser, Lisa Gibellini and TDC Group Manager Service and Strategy, J Kidd, Strategic Policy Manager, Dwayne Fletcher, Senior Community Policy Adviser – Data Analyst, Brylee Wayman took the report as read and answered questions on why the investigation into whether a Joint Entity could help achieve better residential intensification outcomes was unlikely to be feasible, whether or not the analysis looked at land and building development together, and where the balance of the Better Funding saved from not developing the full business case was reallocated to.

Resolved JC/2024/003

That the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

1. ***Receives the report Urban Development Entity Investigation (R28361) and its attachments (336940202-10816 and 336940202-10815).***

Bryant/His Worship the Mayor

Carried

8. Exclusion of the Public

Resolved JC/2024/004

That the Joint Committee

1. **Confirms, in accordance with sections 48(5) and 48(6) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, that Stephen Broad-Paul, Chair of the Tasman Bays Heritage Trust and Belinda Wheatley, Project Manager for the Nelson Provincial Museum remain after the public has been excluded, for Item 8 of the Confidential agenda (Strategic Presentation – Tasman Bays Heritage Trust), as they have knowledge relating to this item that will assist the meeting.**

His Worship the Mayor/Dowler

Carried

Resolved JC/2024/005

That the Joint Committee

1. **Excludes the public from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting.**
2. **The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:**

His Worship the Mayor/Dowler

Carried

Item	General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Particular interests protected (where applicable)
1	Joint Committee Meeting - Confidential Minutes - 14 November 2023	Section 48(1)(a) The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7.	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 7(2)(h) To enable the local authority to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities • Section 7(2)(i) To enable the local authority to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)

Item	General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Particular interests protected (where applicable)
2	Strategic Presentation - Tasman Bays Heritage Trust	Section 48(1)(a) The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section 7(2)(b)(ii) To protect information where the making available of the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information

The meeting went into confidential session at 10.44a.m. and resumed in public session at 11.31a.m.

There being no further business the meeting ended at 11.31a.m.

Confirmed as a correct record of proceedings by resolution on (date)

Resolved



Minutes of a meeting of the

Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

Te Kōmiti Mahi Tahī

Held in the Council Chamber, Civic House, 110 Trafalgar Street, Nelson on Tuesday 9 July 2024, commencing at 9.32a.m. to adopt amended Saxton Field Reserve Management Plan

- Present: Tasman District Council: Deputy Mayor of Tasman S Bryant, Tasman District Councillors G Daikee, J Ellis, M Greening, C Hill, M Kininmonth, C Mackenzie, K Maling, B Maru and D Shallcrass
- Nelson City Council: His Worship the Mayor of Nelson N Smith, R O'Neill-Stevens, Nelson City Councillors M Anderson, M Bengé, T Brand, M Courtney, J Hodgson, K Paki Paki, P Rainey, C Rollo, R Sanson, T Skinner and A Stallard
- In Attendance: Chief Executive (N Philpott), Group Manager Environmental Management (M Bishop), Group Manager Community Services (A White), Group Manager Corporate Services (N Harrison), Group Manager Strategy and Communications (N McDonald), Acting Group Manager Infrastructure (D Light), Acting Team Leader Governance (A Andrews) and Governance Adviser (A Bryce)
- Apologies: Apologies have been received from His Worship the Mayor of Tasman T King and Tasman District Council Councillors T Walker, C Butler and B Dowler

Karakia

1. Apologies

Resolved JC/2024/008

That the Joint Committee

- 1. Receives and accepts the apologies from Councillor B Dowler, His Worship the Mayor of Tasman T King and Councillor T Walker.***

Bryant/Courtney

Carried

2. Confirmation of Order of Business

There was no change to the order of business.

3. Interests

There were no updates to the Interests Register, and no interests with items on the agenda were declared.

4. Public Forum

There was no public forum.

5. Recommendation from the Saxton Field Hearings Panel

Document number R28630, agenda pages 3 - 4 refer.

Group Manager Community Services explained the purpose of the recommendation and answered questions on international cricket, a change in Saxton Field Reserve Management plan, the Saxton Field Reserve Management plan review, local supplier limitations, alcohol policies of Tasman District Council and Nelson City Council, the review process, phasing out alcohol advertising, loss of international games, contracts with New Zealand cricket, economic benefit, and alcohol related behaviour.

Attendance: Councillor Paki Paki joined the meeting at 10.25a.m.

Attendance: Deputy Mayor (Nelson) O'Neil-Stevens left the meeting at 11.12am.

An amendment was moved by Councillor Greening, seconded Councillor Stallard. The Chair did not accept the amendment stating its nature and timing as the reason. A motion was then put by Councillor Greening to overrule the chair's ruling, seconded by Councillor Stallard.

Resolved JC/2024/009

That the Joint Committee

1. Approves the chairperson's ruling be overruled.

Greening/Stallard

Lost

Resolved JC/2024/010

That the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

1. Adopts in accordance with Section 41 of the Reserves Act 1977, and Part 6 of the Local Government Act 2002, the amendments to the Saxton Field Reserve Management Plan as set out in Attachment 2 (196698121-57920) of Report R28537; and

2. **Notes the recommendation from Te Whatu Ora and Alcohol Healthwatch that the councils consider updating the Nelson Tasman Regional Alcohol Strategy 2006; and**
3. **Notes the recommendation from Alcohol Healthwatch that the councils advocate for policy change that enables local government to better reduce alcohol marketing exposure in their environments; and**
4. **Requests both Mayors from Tasman District and Nelson City Councils write a letter to New Zealand Cricket expressing the desire to retain international cricket within the region.**

The amendment/motion/substantive motion was put and a division was called:

<u>For</u>	<u>Against</u>	<u>Abstained/Interest</u>
Cr Bryant	Cr Greening	
Cr Daikee	Cr Anderson	
Cr Ellis	Cr Rainey	
Cr Kininmonth	Cr Sanson	
Cr Mackenzie	Cr Stallard	
Cr Maling		
Cr Maru		
Cr Shallcrass		
Cr Smith		
Cr Benge		
Cr Brand		
Cr Courtney		
Cr Hodgson		
Cr Paki Paki		
Cr Rollo		
Cr Skinner		

The motion was carried 16 - 5.

His Worship the Mayor/Bryant

Carried

There being no further business the meeting ended at 11.31a.m.

Confirmed as a correct record of proceedings by resolution on (date)

Resolved

**Minutes of a meeting of the
Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils
*Te Kōmiti Mahi Tahi***

**Held in the Council Chamber, Civic House, 110 Trafalgar Street,
Nelson on Tuesday 23 July 2024, commencing at 10.32a.m. - to
adopt Joint Speed Management Plan**

- Present: Tasman District Council: His Worship the Mayor T King (Chairperson), Deputy Mayor of Tasman S Bryant, Councillors C Butler, G Daikee, B Dowler, J Ellis, M Kininmonth, C Mackenzie, K Maling, B Maru, D Shallcrass and T Walker
- Nelson City Council (NCC): His Worship the Mayor N Smith, Councillors M Anderson, M Benge, T Brand, M Courtney, J Hodgson, K Paki Paki, R Sanson, T Skinner and A Stallard
- In Attendance: Tasman District Council: Acting Chief Executive (Richard Kirby)
- Nelson City Council: Chief Executive (Nigel Philpott), Deputy Chief Executive/Group Manager Infrastructure (A Louverdis), Senior Governance Adviser (A Andrews) and Governance Adviser (A Bryce)
- Apologies: Apologies have been received from Nelson City Council Deputy Mayor R O'Neill-Stevens and Councillors C Rollo and P Rainey and Tasman District Council Councillors M Greening and C Hill.

1. Apologies

Resolved JC/2024/011

That the Joint Committee

- 1. Receives and accepts the apologies from Nelson City Council Deputy Mayor R O'Neill-Stevens and Councillors C Rollo and P Rainey and Tasman District Council Councillors C Hill and M Greening.***

Courtney/His Worship the Mayor

Carried

2. Confirmation of Order of Business

There was no change to the order of business.

3. Interests

There were no updates to the Interests Register, and no interests with items on the agenda were declared.

4. Public Forum

There was no public forum.

5. Joint Nelson Tasman Speed Management Plan 2024

Document number R28661, agenda pages 4 - 68 refer.

Team Leader Transport Activity Management, Lyndon Hammond tabled a presentation and spoke to the report. He explained the speed limits within the Nelson proposal of the speed management plan.

Senior Infrastructure Planning Advisor – Transportation, Bill Rice, Transportation Planning Advisor, Jane Murray, Strategic Policy Manager, Dwayne Fletcher and Senior Transport Planner, Rhys Palmer spoke to the Nelson and Tasman proposals and highlighted the affected roads.

They answered questions on the process for changing speed limits for specific sections of roads(urban streets), Vanguard Street cul-de-sac variable speed limits, feedback from school consultations, cost benefit analysis, costs (safety, operations, travel time and emissions) and inclusion of early childhood centres in the consultation document.

Attendance: Councillor Skinner joined the meeting at 11:37a.m.

Resolved JC/2024/012

That the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

1. ***Receives the report Joint Nelson Tasman Speed Management Plan (R28661) and its attachments (1862260321-70856, 1862260321-70860 and 1862260321-70871); and***
2. ***Approves the amended Joint Nelson Tasman Speed Management Plan 2024 (1862260321-70860); and***
3. ***Approves the Schedule of Speed Limit changes (1862260321-70871); and***
4. ***Authorises the Chief Executive of each Council to approve, within their District:***
 - a. ***minor corrections to the Schedule of Speed Limit Changes extents; and***

- b. changes to the implementation date in the Schedule of Speed Limit Changes as needed to account for New Zealand Transport Agency funding decisions or contractor roll out considerations; and**
- c. the removal of components of the Joint Nelson Tasman Speed Management Speed Management Plan 2024 that are inconsistent with the final Speed Rule and not able to be implemented following the introduction of the final Speed Rule; and**
- d. notifies the relevant elected members and the Joint Nelson Tasman Regional Transport Committee of any changes made under this delegation.**

The motion was put and a division was called:

<u>For</u>	<u>Against</u>	<u>Abstained/Interest</u>
Mayor King (Chairperson)	Cr Anderson	
Cr Bryant	Cr Courtney	
Cr Butler	Cr Sanson	
Cr Daikee	Cr Stallard	
Cr Dowler		
Cr Ellis		
Cr Kininmonth		
Cr Mackenzie		
Cr Maling		
Cr Maru		
Cr Shallcrass		
Cr Walker		
Mayor Smith		
Cr Bengie		
Cr Brand		
Cr Hodgson		
Cr Paki Paki		
Cr Skinner		

The motion was carried 18 - 4.

Bryant/His Worship the Mayor

Carried

Attachments

- 1 1982984479-7962 Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils
23Jul2024 Tabled Document PowerPoint

There being no further business the meeting ended at 11:53a.m.

Confirmed as a correct record of proceedings by resolution on (date)

Resolved

Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

19 November 2024

Report Title: Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy - Implementation Plan 2024

Report Author: Martin Kozinsky - Senior City Development Adviser

Report Authoriser: Mandy Bishop - Group Manager Environmental Management

Report Number: R28791

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 To adopt the Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy Implementation Plan 2024.
- 1.2 To provide an update on actions undertaken since the adoption of the Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy Implementation Plan 2023.

2. Summary

- 2.1 The National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (NPS UD) requires the annual review of the Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy (FDS) Implementation Plan. The first Implementation Plan was adopted by Councils in 2023.
- 2.2 Meetings were held with council staff and key external stakeholders to review and update actions underway to implement the FDS.
- 2.3 Updates made to the Implementation Plan are discussed in sections 4.10 - 4.42 of this report.

3. Recommendation

That the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

1. **Receives the report Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy - Implementation Plan 2024 (R28791) and its Attachment; and**
2. **Adopts the Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy Implementation Plan 2024 (336940202-12164).**

4. Background and Discussion

- 4.1 The Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy (FDS) was adopted by the Nelson Tasman Joint Committee (Joint Committee) on 29 August 2022. The FDS is a high-level strategy that identifies potential housing and business growth areas to meet anticipated demand within the Nelson Tasman urban environment and Tasman's rural towns over the next 30 years.
- 4.2 The FDS is a requirement under the NPS UD for tier 1 and tier 2 authorities. Nelson and Tasman are designated tier 2 authorities. The Nelson Tasman urban environment includes the cities and towns of Nelson, Richmond, Motueka, Māpua, Wakefield, Brightwater, Cable Bay, and Hira.
- 4.3 The NPS UD states that every tier 1 and tier 2 authority must prepare and implement an implementation plan for its FDS, and the implementation plan must be updated annually. The current FDS Implementation Plan was adopted by the Joint Committee on 14 November 2023.
- 4.4 The FDS was prepared in collaboration with Te Taihū iwi and hapū and includes a statement of iwi and hapū aspirations for urban development. These aspirations will continue to be implemented by both the Councils and various stakeholders through ongoing engagement with iwi and hapū on all relevant projects.
- 4.5 The Implementation Plan pulls together latest public information from both Councils and stakeholders. It includes their plans, strategies and processes and clarifies how these help to implement the FDS.
- 4.6 The Implementation Plan outlines how the FDS will be implemented, by whom and timeframes for the actions identified in the plan. Actions have been informed by meetings held with council staff and external key stakeholders, including:
- 4.6.1 Ministry of Education
 - 4.6.2 Ministry of Housing and Urban Development
 - 4.6.3 Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities
 - 4.6.4 Health New Zealand Te Whatu Ora – Nelson Marlborough
 - 4.6.5 Nelson Bays Primary Health
 - 4.6.6 New Zealand Transport Agency Waka Kotahi
 - 4.6.7 Transpower New Zealand
 - 4.6.8 Network Tasman Limited
 - 4.6.9 Nelson Electricity Limited
 - 4.6.10 Nelson Regional Development Agency
 - 4.6.11 Nelson Regional Sewerage Business Unit
- 4.7 Section 4.0 of the Implementation Plan provides the latest indicative staging of the FDS sites, detailing which sites are likely to be rezoned and serviced in the medium term (2024 to 2034) or long term (2035 to 2054).

Item 6: Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy - Implementation Plan 2024

- 4.8 Uncertainty remains over the future of three waters infrastructure for both Councils. The Local Government (Water Services Preliminary Arrangements) Act 2024 was enacted in September 2024. By September 2025, councils need to produce a water service delivery plan for Department of Internal Affairs' approval either by themselves or jointly with other councils.
- 4.9 Uncertainty also remains over the future of the RMA replacement legislation. The current Government has repealed both the recent Natural and Built Environment Act and Spatial Planning Act. Councils are currently awaiting replacement legislation expected before the next election, which will among other things inform the future preparation of FDSs.

Updates to joint Council initiatives

- 4.10 Annual monitoring of housing and business markets and the three yearly Housing and Business Capacity Assessment (HBA) continue to be undertaken jointly by both Councils as required under the NPS UD. The most recent HBAs were adopted in June 2024 as supplementary information to each Council's Long Term Plan. The latest annual monitoring report forms part of this Joint Committee agenda.
- 4.11 Both Councils are continuing to explore the potential for a placed based partnership with the Ministry of Housing and Urban Development, somewhat dependent on the outcome of the independent review into Kāinga Ora.
- 4.12 The Councils explored the potential for an urban development entity in 2023/24 but investigations found a joint entity was unlikely to be feasible.
- 4.13 The Councils each adopted their Long Term Plans in June 2024 and are working together on climate change risk, transport, waste and finding a site for a new regional cemetery as follows:
- 4.13.1 work on a joint climate change regional risk assessment is close to completion
 - 4.13.2 jointly adopted a Regional Land Transport Programme (RLTP) and Regional Public Transport Plans (RPTP) in 2024. The Councils' speed management plan will next be reviewed to ensure compliance with the Government's recently released setting of speed limits rule
 - 4.13.3 a review of the Ebus is taking place in 2024 and minor network adjustments are proposed in the latest RPTP such as additional weekend services on regional routes to Wakefield and Motueka
 - 4.13.4 a new Nelson Tasman Waste Minimisation and Management Plan 2025-2031
 - 4.13.5 continued work on progression of a new joint regional cemetery

Updates to Nelson City Council initiatives

City Development and Property

- 4.14 Expressions of Interest have been sought for processed developments on three Council-owned city centre properties to support revitalisation and/or residential living.

Item 6: Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy - Implementation Plan 2024

- 4.15 Investigation is underway into the potential of a new combined Library, Community Hub and Civic Centre, and divestment of current Civic House, via an Expression of Interest process due to be completed by early 2025. No decisions have been made on next steps.
- 4.16 The partnership with Kāinga Ora to build apartments at 69 to 101 Achillies Ave and 42 Rutherford Street has been discontinued.

Environmental Planning

- 4.17 Plan Change 28 – Maitahi Bayview is awaiting a final decision from the Environment Court, with an interim decision released on 3 July 2024.
- 4.18 Plan Change 29 – Housing and Hazards is awaiting recommendations from Hearings Panel, expected in early 2025.
- 4.19 Plan Change 30 – Airport Runway Extension is awaiting recommendations from Hearing Panel, expected late 2024.
- 4.20 Plan Change 31 which amended Schedule N in the NRMP to enable supermarket activities in the Nelson Junction area became operative February 2024.

Infrastructure

- 4.21 The project to shift the Bus Interchange to Millers Acre is currently in the design stage and construction is expected to be completed by the end of 2025.
- 4.22 Upgrades to the Toi Toi Street and St Vincent Street roundabout were made to improve road design and address the high crash rate as vehicle use has increased in the area.
- 4.23 Due to the reduced funding available to both Councils under the National Land Transport Programme 2024-27, several of the projects identified in the Nelson Future Access Project are subject to funding constraints, including priority lanes on Waimea Road and active transport infrastructure.

Updates to Tasman District Council initiatives

Environmental Policy Planning

- 4.24 Since the 2023 Implementation Plan, Tasman has adopted the "Richmond on the Rise" Spatial plan and notified decisions on plan change 76 for a Wakefield FDS site. Plan change 80 (Motueka West) has progressed to hearing stage late 2024 and the Māpua masterplan has been through two rounds of engagement in 2023/24 and public consultation will on the draft master plan commenced on 1 November 2024. Work also continues on the Māori urban design framework.
- 4.25 Since the 2023 Implementation Plan, urban design outcomes will be incorporated into the new rules for the medium density residential zone. This new zone forms part of Plan Change 81 which will progress to public engagement at the end of 2024. The plan change, among other things proposes the rezoning of approximate 35 FDS sites in nine towns. A simultaneous plan change for the Tasman Regional Policy Statement (Plan Change 1) will implement the NPS UD.
- 4.26 Work continues on Plan Change 84 – Land and Freshwater which Council aims to notify in 2026, pending the Government's changes on the National Policy Statement

Item 6: Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy - Implementation Plan 2024

on freshwater management. Work has recently commenced on plan change 85, a review of natural hazards policy framework in the TRMP and issues and options will be discussed with the community in 2025, although timeframes are dependent on the Government's national direction on natural hazards. Work has also recently commenced on a 10 year programme of climate change adaptation planning in Tasman. The focus for 2024/25 is to scope the methodology and work programme and commence the first community adaptation plan as a pilot.

- 4.27 Tasman Officers have recently established an FDS implementation programme board which aims to ensure effective project management, governance, alignment and delivery of the future development programme.

Infrastructure

- 4.28 Some of the initial cycleways in Tasman are now complete but the Walking and Cycling Strategy 2022-2052 proposes a long term commitment to completing further improvements to support intensification, for example residential greenways, speed limit reductions and high quality separated cycle ways.
- 4.29 A significant project under the Richmond Transport Programme Business Case is the Hope bypass, now a Road of National Significance. Under the current Government the Hope bypass is included in the State Highway Investment Programme. Construction is indicated to commence 2033 assuming a successful business case is made in the next 3 years – pre-project development scoping has commenced. The long term nature of the timescales for the Hope bypass do have a knock on effect on scheduling other road network improvement projects such as Lower Queen Street and improvements to the Lower Queen St/Gladstone Road (State Highway 6) intersection.
- 4.30 Work will commence on the Open Space Strategy review in 2025/26 and various new community facilities are planned in Tasman including a community hub for Tapawera, new facilities in Brightwater and Wakefield, a new swimming pool in Motueka and an extension to Murchison sport, recreation and cultural centre.

Rates remissions

- 4.31 Tasman's rate remission policy 2024-2034 includes a remission of the general rate for community housing providers and papakāinga developments and postponement and remission of rates on Māori freehold land.

Updates to stakeholder initiatives

- 4.32 **Ministry of Education** – investigations continue into providing future capacity at schools, which includes the relocation of Maitai Specialist School to Salisbury Road, redevelopment of Salisbury School, and a new school at Berryfields, Richmond. The current network of schools is expected to accommodate growth in the area.
- 4.33 **Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (MHUD)** – work on Resource Management Act reform is underway alongside the Ministry for the Environment. MHUD is currently discussing a place-based partnership with the Councils and continues to engage with the Councils' on housing plan changes.
- 4.34 **Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities** – provision of housing assists to realise the FDS' objectives. There have been 43 homes delivered across Nelson Tasman

Item 6: Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy - Implementation Plan 2024

in the previous 2024 financial year, with another 66 homes contracted for delivery by June 2025.

- 4.35 **New Zealand Transport Agency Waka Kotahi** – The Hope Bypass project has been designated a Road of National Significance and pre-project development scoping has recently commenced, with construction due by 2033. The National Land Transport Programme 2024-27 no longer provides funding for the Rocks Road seawall replacement, priority lanes or walking/cycling safety improvements.
- 4.36 **Health New Zealand Nelson Marlborough Te Whatu Ora** – Nelson Hospital redevelopment will upgrade Nelson Hospital in a phased approach. Work is underway on a masterplan to ensure the hospital is fit for contemporary care models and future demand projections. The implementation of a Nelson-Tasman health locality is being replaced by a Nelson-Tasman-Marlborough health planning area as part of wider health system reforms.
- 4.37 **Nelson Bays Primary Health** – The Primary Health Organisation is planning for continued growth in health enrolments. Stoke medical centre satellite opening in Richmond, “Waimea medical” offering minor surgery and procedures. NBPH will be providing accessible primary and community services via satellite clinics.
- 4.38 **Transpower New Zealand** – demand for electricity can be met across the region and no new transmission lines are planned. Capacity of existing assets would be increased.
- 4.39 **Network Tasman Limited** – a capacity upgrade of Motueka substation is underway and the construction of the new exit grid point substation in Brightwater will connect and supplement Stoke, as a second source of bulk supply to Nelson and Tasman. A new substation is planned for Wakefield in the longer term as well as an upgrade of the Hope substation.
- 4.40 **Nelson Electricity Limited** – has been added this year to the stakeholder list as a localised power infrastructure provider in the Nelson Central area. It is planning for localised upgrades as urban areas intensify, driven by the age of existing infrastructure.
- 4.41 **Nelson Regional Development Agency** – The FDS informs NRDA’s strategy and advice to stakeholders, including the front-footing of challenges to regional growth. This is captured within NRDA’s Regeneration Plan, its Regional Investment Prospectus and its annual briefing to Ministers.
- 4.42 **Nelson Regional Sewerage Business Unit (NRSBU)** – currently consulting the Councils and iwi on its 50 year masterplan. A new wastewater treatment plant is required to replace Bell Island in the long term. Duplicate pipelines, increase in pump station capacity and providing emergency storage are all ways NRSBU are investigating to add wastewater capacity. Nelson Tasman Regional Landfill Business Unit has constructed a temporary waste transfer facility at York Valley landfill.

5. Options

5.1 The Joint Committee has the option of adopting, or not adopting the FDS implementation plan or amending the Implementation Plan before adoption. Council officers recommend that option one, approval of the Implementation Plan, be adopted.

Option 1: Adopt the Implementation Plan - recommended	
Advantages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensures compliance with the NPS UD. Provides the community with an update on work that has been done to date to implement the 2022 FDS and planned projects. Enables external stakeholders to keep up to date with the Councils' planning for growth, including Central Government.
Risks and Disadvantages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None.
Option 2: Amend the Implementation Plan	
Advantages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Gives the Joint Committee the opportunity to include any additional implementation actions that are considered key to implement the FDS.
Risks and Disadvantages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential risk to delaying the adoption of the Implementation Plan, the annual update of which is due.
Option 3: Do not adopt the Implementation Plan	
Advantages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None.
Risks and Disadvantages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Councils will be noncompliant with the NPS UD. Stakeholders and the community would not be provided with a single plan that shows how the FDS will be implemented and monitored.

Important considerations for decision making

6.1 Fit with Purpose of Local Government

The matters in this report sit alongside and support the Nelson City and Tasman District Councils' work to provide serviced land capacity for future housing and business growth. The report discusses the Government's requirements for local authorities' growth planning as set out in sections 30 and 31 of the RMA and the NPS UD.

Overall, the Implementation Plan clarifies the processes that the Councils will undertake to ensure sufficient housing and business land capacity is provided to meet demand, as well as confirmation that external infrastructure agencies can accommodate the growth.

6.2 Consistency with Community Outcomes and Council Policy

The implementation plan is not subject to the same consultative requirements as the FDS (as stated in Clause 3.18 of the NPS UD).

The Implementation Plan is consistent with the community outcomes and will assist the Councils in achieving them, particularly for Nelson:

- Our urban and rural environments are people-friendly, well planned and sustainably managed.
- Our infrastructure is efficient, resilient, cost-effective and meets current and future needs.
- Our Council provides leadership and fosters partnerships including with iwi, fosters a regional perspective and encourages community engagement.
- Our region is supported by an innovative and sustainable economy.

And for Tasman:

- Our urban and rural environments are people friendly, well-planned, accessible and sustainably managed.
- Our infrastructure is efficient, resilient, cost effective and meets current and future needs.
- Our communities are healthy, safe, inclusive and resilient.

6.3 Risk

The Implementation Plan will be reviewed and updated annually to continue to provide the community and stakeholders with the most up to date information on how the FDS is being implemented and associated timeframes. Should growth projects of either the Councils or external agencies fail to be delivered on time, this will be apparent in future updates, as well as other monitoring the Councils undertake in accordance with the NPS UD, such as the three yearly housing and business assessments.

6.4 Financial impact

There are no direct budgetary or financial implication for the Councils arising from making the decision to adopt the Implementation Plan. Consideration of the costs of various implementation measures set out in the Plan will continue as part of implementing the Long Term Plans 2024 – 2034.

There is sufficient staffing to undertake the annual review of the Implementation Plan.

6.5 Degree of significance and level of engagement

This matter is of low significance because the Implementation Plan does not have the effect of an FDS (as stated in Clause 3.18 of the NPS UD). Therefore, there is no need for formal engagement. Further, the NPS UD states that an Implementation Plan does not need to be prepared using the same consultation and engagement requirements undertaken for the preparation of the FDS (which used the Special Consultative Procedure).

For completeness, during the preparation of this Implementation Plan Council officers held a number of informal meetings with key stakeholders in the region between August and October 2024 to understand how they use the FDS for their workstreams and how they are planning for growth.

6.6 Climate Impact

Section 3.7 of the Implementation Plan provides details on the climate change adaptation planning being undertaken by both Councils, and timeframes for the same. The FDS itself addressed climate change in its consideration of minimising transport greenhouse gas emissions and treatment of the risks associated with coastal hazard relating to sea level rise.

6.7 Inclusion of Māori in the decision making process

No engagement with Māori has been undertaken in preparing this Implementation Plan. However, extensive ngā iwi engagement was undertaken to develop the FDS, including preparing a statement of iwi and hapū values and aspirations for urban development informing the FDS's objectives. Section 2.1 of the Implementation Plan details how ngā iwi and hapū aspirations will continue to be implemented by both Councils and various stakeholders by ongoing engagement on all relevant FDS implementation projects.

6.8 Delegations

The Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Council has the following delegations to consider the Implementation Plan

Areas of Responsibility:

a) Implementation of the Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy.

Powers to Decide:

To adopt, approve, review and amend the Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy and Implementation Plan.

7. Conclusion and Next Steps

7.1 Once adopted, the Implementation Plan will be uploaded to the Councils' websites.

Attachments

Attachment 1: Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy Implementation Plan 2024

Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

19 November 2024

Report Title: National Policy Statement on Urban Development Monitoring Report 2024

Report Author: Bridgette O'Connor - Senior Analyst Environmental Management and Brylee Wayman – Senior Community Policy Advisor – Data Analyst

Report Authoriser: Mandy Bishop - Group Manager Environmental Management

Report Number: R28868

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 To present the Nelson Tasman Joint Monitoring Report 2024 (Attachment 1) for consideration, noting the Monitoring Report is a requirement of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development (NPS UD).

2. Recommendation

That the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

1. Receives the report National Policy Statement on Urban Development Monitoring Report 2024 (R28868) and its Attachment.

3. Background

3.1 The NPS UD came into effect in August 2020. Section 3.9 of the NPS UD requires local authorities to monitor a range of indicators including:

3.1.1 The demand for dwellings

3.1.2 The supply of dwellings

3.1.3 Prices of, and rents for, dwellings

3.1.4 Housing affordability

3.1.5 The proportion of housing development capacity that has been realised:

1.1.1 in previously urbanised areas (such as through infill housing or redevelopment); and

1.1.2 in previously undeveloped areas (i.e. greenfield)

3.1.6 Available data on business land

Item 7: National Policy Statement on Urban Development Monitoring Report 2024

- 3.2 The monitoring report covers the period 1 July 2023 to 30 June 2024 and the main observations are:
- 3.2.1 The population in the Nelson Tasman urban environment grew by 0.9% over 12 months to reach 88,600.
 - 3.2.2 The median house price in Nelson was \$686,000 (unchanged from 2023) and Tasman was \$751,000 (down 4%).
 - 3.2.3 The number of eligible applicants on the social housing waitlist in Nelson is 303 (up from 282) and 147 in Tasman (similar). Demand for affordable housing extends beyond those on the social housing waitlist, as evidenced by the December 2023 Nelson Tasman Housing Trust's survey.
 - 3.2.4 Building consents for new dwellings decreased by 42% for the Urban Environment. Units in Nelson reached 222 (down from 276); 47% of these units were standalone houses, 32% apartments, 15% attached dwellings (townhouses, flats, units and other dwellings), and 5% were retirement villages. Tasman had 271 dwellings (down over 50% from 577); 94% were standalone houses. The drop in new dwelling consents reflects New Zealand's decline of 36% compared to the previous year.
 - 3.2.5 Nelson saw a significant improvement in housing affordability, improving by 11% over the previous 12 months now making Nelson the 6th most affordable region, of 16 regions (sitting between Gisborne at 7th place and Wellington at 5th). Affordability remains an issue Tasman is the third least affordable region (behind Auckland and Bay of Plenty).
 - 3.2.6 Rental affordability has declined, with Nelson and Tasman now ranking 13th and 11th least affordable for renting out of the 16 regions. Kapiti Coast sits at 12th place in between the two regions.
 - 3.2.7 Nelson created 138 new residential sections and granted resource consent for 93 new lots, mainly in Marsden Park, Solitaire, and Bayview. This is slightly less than the previous 12 months. Tasman created 372 new sections, mostly in Richmond, which is very similar to the previous two years and a positive indicator for potential future building consents. Subdivision consent was granted for 146 new lots, with a downward trend since 2021.
 - 3.2.8 The Nelson Tasman Urban Environment saw a 33% decrease in new commercial and industrial floor area consents compared to the previous year. Nelson created no new business lots but consented 7 business resource consents. Tasman created 27 new business lots in Richmond but consented no new commercial or industrial lots.

4. Conclusion

- 4.1 While house prices are now levelling off, Nelson house prices remain consistent with 2023 and Tasman saw a decrease of 4%, prices are still 21% higher than 5 years ago for Nelson and 26% for Tasman. Other indicators show that affordability remains a problem for Tasman, while Nelson has improved in affordability by 11%.

Item 7: National Policy Statement on Urban Development Monitoring Report 2024

- 4.2 Tasman's residential building consents declined significantly by over 50% in the last 12 months. This was predicted in the previous annual monitoring report as Tasman experienced lower consented residential lots (subdivision). Nelson's residential building consents reduced by a smaller 20%. This reflects the downturn in the market and a national downward trend. Economists are predicting the start of a recovery of the residential construction market sometime in 2025.
- 4.3 Standalone houses continue to remain the dominant dwelling type for both Nelson (47%) and Tasman (96%). The remaining 4% of Tasman's new dwellings were attached dwellings. For Nelson, the remaining is comprised of 15% attached dwellings, 5% were retirement village unit, and 32% were apartments. This is an increase in the proportion of apartments of 12% from the previous year.
- 4.4 According to LINZ, the number of residential sections created has dropped by over 20% in Nelson but remains the same as the previous two years in Tasman. This may be a positive indicator for potential future building consents.
- 4.5 Growth is continuing in the Nelson Tasman urban environment and also for the wider Tasman district. However, there has been a continued slowdown in the number of resource consents for residential lots over the reporting period for both Councils. The next monitoring report will confirm whether the downward trend continues or recovers to an upward trend.

Attachments

Attachment 1: NPS UD Monitoring Report YE June 2024

a) Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

b) 19 November 2024

c)

Report Title: Infrastructure Holdings Limited group board review - amendment of delegations
Report Author: Nikki Harrison - Group Manager Corporate Services
Report Number: R28844

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 To brief the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Council on the Infrastructure Holdings Limited (IHL) Group board review currently being undertaken by BoardWorks.
- 1.2 To amend the delegations of the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Council to allow for decisions in relation specifically to the IHL Group board review (including any consequential changes to the Shareholders Agreement, IHL Group constitutions and Joint Policies) to be made by this Committee at the Joint Committee meeting in March 2025.

2. Recommendation

That the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils

- 1. Receives the report Infrastructure Holdings Limited group board review - amendment of delegations (R28844).**

Recommendation to Councils

That the Nelson City and Tasman District Councils

- 1. Delegates decisions following the Infrastructure Holdings Ltd Group board review (including any consequential changes to the Shareholders Agreement, IHL Group constitutions and Joint Policies) to the Joint Committee of Tasman District and Nelson City Councils, to be actioned at the Joint Committee meeting in March 2025.**

3. Background and Discussion

- 3.1 When the Infrastructure Holdings Limited (IHL) entity was set up by Nelson City and Tasman District Councils an IHL transition board was put in place to oversee the set up of the IHL group structure using the institutional knowledge and expertise of key board members of the subsidiary companies and with an independent chair.

Item 8: Infrastructure Holdings Limited group board review - amendment of delegations

- 3.2 At the Joint Shareholders Committee meeting on 15 February 2022 the following resolution was passed:

JSC22-02-01

That the Joint Shareholders Committee:

5. Approves the recruitment of the holding company independent chair in accordance with the Procedure for Joint Appointment of Directors/Trustees of Council Controlled Organisations and Council Controlled Trading Organisations.
 6. Approves the appointment of the Board Chairs and the Chairs of the respective Audit (Finance) and Risk Committees (or designates), of Port Nelson Ltd and Nelson Airport Ltd to the holding company transitional board for a term of up to 18 months.
- 3.3 The intention of the joint shareholders, Nelson City and Tasman District Council was to review the IHL board after 18 months of operations. IHL became operational 1 July 2023 with the transfer of shares in Port Nelson Ltd and Nelson Airport Ltd and the transfer of debt to LGFA and treasury instruments transferring into the entity on 6 October 2023.
- 3.4 There is now an opportunity to strengthen the model that has been created with the establishment of IHL in order to be best prepared for the future.
- 3.5 BoardWorks have been engaged jointly by the shareholders to undertake the review of the IHL group board structure. The objectives of the shareholder in undertaking the review agreed by the two Mayors include:
- 3.5.1 Maximise performance of the trading subsidiaries and ensure the Group is well structured for the future;
 - 3.5.2 Ensure appropriate accountability to the council shareholders, including protecting the uncalled capital risk to the shareholders;
 - 3.5.3 Minimise conflicts of interest and compliance costs;
 - 3.5.4 Ensure legislative compliance and good practice.
- 3.6 Options for consideration include:
- 3.6.1 Status quo – IHL with independent Chair and 2 reps each from NAL and PNL; 2 independent subsidiary boards (PNL 6 and NAL 5 independents)
 - 3.6.2 2/3 independents on IHL with Chairs of NAL and PNL; NAL and PNL remain unchanged as independent boards
 - 3.6.3 Same Board across all group entities IHL, NAL and PNL

Item 8: Infrastructure Holdings Limited group board review - amendment of delegations

3.6.4 Independent Board for IHL with Executive directors in NAL/PNL

3.6.5 Three Independent Boards for IHL, NAL and PNL

3.7 Officers believe the Joint Committee, involving both Mayors and all councillors of both Nelson City and Tasman District Council, provides a better forum to make decisions as all elected members are then aware of the range of views and receive the same information and response to questions. At the same time, it avoids the situation of any decisions or amendments being debated individually, and repeatedly between councils and delaying the process.

3.8 Officers advice is to streamline decisions within the scope of what may come out of the IHL Group board review, the Joint Committee of Nelson City and Tasman District Council be delegated decisions (including any consequential changes to the Shareholders Agreement, IHL Group constitutions and Joint Policies) to be actioned at the Joint Committee meeting in March 2025.

3.9 Otherwise decisions would need to be made via recommendations to each council individually from the Joint Shareholders Committee.

d) Benefits of the IHL structure

3.10 The debt and treasury functions of IHL are now established with a demonstrated saving for the nine months of \$903,000 in interest costs (an interest rate saving of 1.04%) in the year to 30 June 2024. Pro rating the saving up for a full 12 months provides an annualised interest saving of \$1.2 million. Officers are confident that interest savings will be more than \$1 million per annum on an ongoing basis.

3.11 Offsetting this benefit are annualised costs of \$397,000 versus the business case estimate of \$220,000. Of these costs, \$262,000 are incremental costs to the IHL Group versus an estimate of \$172,000 with the variance being driven by registry fees of \$30,000 and director fees of \$60,000 underestimated in the business case.

4. Options

Option 1:	
Advantages	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• More efficient, streamlined decision making.
Risks and Disadvantages	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• None.
Option 2:	
Advantages	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• None.
Risks and Disadvantages	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Unnecessary additional time and process.

Important considerations for decision making	
5.1	Fit with Purpose of Local Government Amending the delegations for this matter assists Councils in enabling democratic decision-making as efficiently and effectively as possible.
5.2	Consistency with Community Outcomes and Council Policy Adoption of the proposed delegation change assists Council to achieve its policy goals by providing clear direction regarding how the decision and activities of Council are implemented and managed. The recommendation supports the Community Outcome that “our infrastructure is efficient, cost-effective and meets current and future needs”.
5.3	Risk There is no change to the risk level from the previous process.
5.4	Financial impact There are no financial or budgetary implications flowing from the decision sought in the report.
5.5	Degree of significance and level of engagement This matter is of low significance. Therefore, no engagement has been undertaken.
5.6	Climate Impact No climate change considerations stem from the decisions sought in this report.
5.7	Inclusion of Māori in the decision making process No engagement with Māori has been undertaken in preparing this report.
5.8	Delegations The decision to amend the delegations for this specific matter is within the Council’s area of responsibility to delegate decision making.

6. Conclusion and Next Steps

- 6.1 Staff will work to examine the legal requirements of the Shareholders Agreement, IHL group constitutions, laws, Councils' joint policies to identify any potential changes or obstacles.
- 6.2 Report to Joint Committee in March 2025 with final BoardWorks report and recommendation of the IHL group board structure including any changes to the Shareholders Agreement, constitutions, and policies.

Attachments

Nil

11. Exclusion of the Public

Recommendation

That the Joint Committee

1. Excludes the public from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting.
2. The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

Item	General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Particular interests protected (where applicable)
1	Joint Committee Meeting - Confidential Minutes - 12 March 2024	Section 48(1)(a) The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7.	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Section 7(2)(b)(ii) To protect information where the making available of the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information
2	Joint Council Controlled Organisations - Matters for Statements of Expectations (Tasman Bays Heritage Trust and Infrastructure Holdings Limited) 2025/2026	Section 48(1)(a) The public conduct of this matter would be likely to result in disclosure of information for which good reason exists under section 7	The withholding of the information is necessary: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Section 7(2)(h) To enable the local authority to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities
