
MINUTES
of the
TASMAN DISTRICT COUNCIL MEETING
Long Term Plan 2024-2034 Submissions Hearing – Day 1
Kaunihera Katoa
held
9.30 am, Wednesday, 8 May 2024
at
Tasman Council Chamber, 189 Queen Street, Richmond

Present: Mayor T King, Deputy Mayor S Bryant, Councillors C Butler, G Daikee, B Dowler, J Ellis, M Greening (via Zoom), C Hill, M Kininmonth, K Maling, C Mackenzie, B Maru, D Shallcrass and T Walker

In Attendance: Chief Executive Officer (L Rae), Group Manager - Service and Strategy (J Ridd), Group Manager - Community Infrastructure (R Kirby), Group Manager - Environmental Assurance (K Drummond), Group Manager - Information, Science and Technology (S Manners), Senior Community Policy Advisor (B Wayman), Executive Assistant and Advisor to the Mayor (R Scherer), Team Leader - Democracy Services (E Stephenson) and Democracy Services Advisor (K McLean)

1 OPENING, WELCOME

Mayor King welcomed everyone to the meeting and offered the opening karakia.

2 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil

3 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Nil

4 LATE ITEMS

Nil

5 REPORTS

5.1 Long Term Plan 2024-2034 (Tasman's Ten Year Plan) Submissions Hearings

The report was taken as read.

Moved Councillor Dowler/Councillor Maling

CN24-05-22

That the Tasman District Council

1. receives the Long Term Plan 2024-2034 (Tasman's Ten Year Plan) Submissions Hearings report RCN24-05-18; and
2. accepts all submissions received on the Long Term Plan 2024-2034 (Tasman's Ten Year Plan) during the submission period of 28 March to 28 April 2024; and
3. notes that five submissions include redactions due to unacceptable content; and
4. notes that two submissions without names were not accepted; and
5. accepts the late submissions received on 29 April and 30 April 2024 as follows; and

Submitter	Date Received
Andrew Fenemor on behalf of 88 Valley Rural Water Scheme Committee	29 April 2024
Jolene Cotton on behalf of Community Petition	29 April 2024
Nicola Basham	29 April 2024
Graham Dyce	30 April 2024
Jo Leyland	30 April 2024
Tracy Palmer	30 April 2024
Sean Trengrove on behalf of YMCA Nelson	30 April 2024
Dean Milner	30 April 2024
Karen Steadman	30 April 2024
Suzanne Bilham	30 April 2024
Ann Kidd	30 April 2024
Terina Graham on behalf of Motueka Community Board	30 April 2024

6. declines to accept any submissions received after 30 April 2024.

CARRIED

Submissions

- Submitter: Martin Ewing on behalf of **Nelson Pistol Club** (32414)
- Topic: The Nelson Pistol Club requested a change to the zoning of the land owned by the Club from Rural 2 to noisy recreational.
- Submitter: Richard Martin (32535)
- Topic: Mr Martin spoke about safety for pedestrians and cyclists. He advocated for the proposed cycle route alongside the Wai-iti River to be completed urgently. Mr Martin also supported the proposed new community facility in Wakefield.
- Submitter: Richard Martin and Julian Eggers spoke on behalf of the **Wakefield Community Council** (32596)
- Topic: Proposed Wakefield South Community Facility. Community facilities provide the glue to help keep communities connected.
- Questions: In response to a question, Mr Eggers confirmed that the community is committed to the proposed community facility.
- Submitter: Keith Griffiths **Seaview Heights Joint Venture** (32827)
- Topic: Mr Griffiths spoke to a video [presentation](#). He asked that the Council implement zone changes on four contiguous properties covering 84 hectares for housing development near Motueka. The land was not currently highly productive and was not suitable for modern horticultural practice. The joint venture partners would also like the Council's support for fast tracking the proposed development.
- Questions: In response to a question, It was noted that the properties were considered but not approved for housing development in the Council's Future Development Strategy. Mr Griffiths agreed that it would not be difficult to provide affordable housing within the proposed housing development.
- Submitter: Nicola McCormick on behalf of **Tapawera Connect Charitable Trust Inc** (32716)
- Topic: Proposed Tapawera Community Hub
- Questions: In response to a question, Ms McCormick suggested that if the building went ahead other facilities in Tapawera would need to be closed as they posed an earthquake risk. She also noted that any funding from central government would be subject to funding from the Council and the community.
- Submitter: **Ella Te Kira Fay Quinlivan** (32187)
- Topic: Proposed Tapawera Community Hub
- Questions: In response to a question, Ella said that the young voice was very important in the Council's decision making. She was keen to start up new groups for young people, for example a knitting group and similar opportunities.

Submitter: Phoebe Quinlivan (32159)

Topic: Proposed Tapawera Community Hub. Tapawera people were passionate about their community. The current facility was not fit-for-purpose. With a new hub, Tapawera could thrive even more.

Submitter: Janine Leeden (32256)

Topic: Proposed Tapawera Community Hub. Janine is a representative of Tapawera Connect. Mrs Leeden referred to the proposed hub as the heart of the community, a facility where people could gather and interact.

Submitter: Wayne Leeden (32842)

Topic: Proposed Tapawera Community Hub. Wayne is a member of the Tapawera Community Council and supports the community hub.

Submitter: Sarah Ching - **Country Players Incorporated** (32170)

Topic: Wakefield South Community Facility

Submitter: Peter Verstappen and Sonia Emerson on behalf of **Waimea South Community Facility Charitable Trust** (32258) – Tabled Document

Topic: Supported the proposed Waimea South Community Facility project in the Long Term Plan. The Trust was committed to joining with Brightwater to benefit both communities.

Questions: In response to a question, and in his capacity as a former Principal of Wakefield School, Mr Verstappen said that the new centre was extremely important to the community. He noted that currently youth had to go outside the community for their recreation. The centre would also serve and enhance the newly introduced Year 7-8 teaching programme at Wakefield School..

Patricia Hayden-Payne (33057) – did not attend.

Submitter: Rima Piggott and Miriana Stephens **on behalf of Te Āwhina Marae (32964)**

Topic: Te Āwhina was planning for a number of new facilities and further papakainga housing and wanted to continue support and collaboration with the Council. They asked that the Council consider equitable investment in community facilities.

Questions: In response to a question, Ms Stephens noted that the marae committee already had a master plan for its future facilities and that discussions were continuing with the Government. The committee had already started fundraising.

Submitter: Graham Vercoe (32618)
Topic: Proposed 60% increase in development impact levies
Questions: In response to a question, Mr Vercoe suggested that development impact levies would adversely affect the return on investment for developers and the affordability of houses.

Mr Darryl Payne (32637) – did not attend.

Submitter: Gordon Shaw on behalf of **Nelson Netball Centre Inc.** (32651)
Topic: The Netball Centre was requesting co-funding from both councils for a feasibility study to build a roof over the current outdoor netball courts at Saxton Field. He noted that a roof would also allow netball to be played during inclement weather conditions. Netball was the largest participation sport in New Zealand.
Questions: In response to a question, Mr Shaw confirmed that other funders would be approached to help with the roof build project.

Submitter: Bruce Gilkison on behalf of **Businesses for Climate Action Trust** (33068)
Topic: Helping businesses through Mission Zero to reduce their carbon footprint. The Trust was requesting Council funding of \$20,000 in Year-1, then \$15,000 in Year-2 with funding of \$10,000 per annum on an ongoing basis.
Questions: In response to a question, Mr Gilkison noted that the Trust had also approached Nelson City Council for similar funding.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:02am and reconvened at 11:13am.

Submitter: John Richards (32720)
Topic: Mr Richards spoke about the proposed increase in landing fees at **Motueka Aerodrome**. He suggested that the Council's proposal would remove the bulk-payment option which was much simpler. He felt that conversations with Council staff about this proposed increase should have happened earlier.

Submitter: Rob Ford and Duane Whiting on behalf of **Wakefield Village Developments Limited** (32770)
Topic: Proposed increase in Development Impact Levies. The submitters said that the increase would further stall residential house sales. They would like any increases to be introduced incrementally. They would also like the Council to focus more on the provision of wastewater infrastructure.

Submitter: Natasha Berkett on behalf of **Nelson Riding Club** (32774)
Topic: Horse riding facilities in Tasman District. There was an active horse riding community in Tasman which provided economic and wellbeing benefits to the community. The Club wanted to work with the Council to provide new trails for horse riding over the next ten years. The Club would like to see the establishment of multi-use that could be shared trails with walkers and cyclists.

Submitter: Natasha Berkett and Cherrie Chubb on behalf of **Federated Farmers Golden Bay and Nelson** (32610)

Topic: Challenges for the farming community – returns for sheep and beef farmers were very tight, coupled with the drought situation. Federated Farmers would like to see the Council apply differentiated rating so that rural people paid less rates. They would like the Council to consider a higher uniform annual general charge (UAGC) rate.

Questions: In response to a question about farmers support for community facilities, Ms Berkett said that they were supportive, but wanted the Council to cut its cloth to suit. They would also like more focus on user pays and external funding.

Submitter: Belinda Crisp on behalf of **Nelson Tasman Cycle Trails Trust** (32682)

Topic: Tasman's Great Taste Trail was very popular and its use was increasing. The trail contributed \$34 million to the local economy. The Trust supported moving the trail from Hoult Valley to Wai-iti bridge for safety concerns and was committed to funding for this project.

Questions: The submitter confirmed that the trail along the Tapawera-Baton was the Trust's next priority.

Submitter: Bruce Broady (32684)

Topic: Motueka airport landing fees. Mr Broady also noted that no other airport in New Zealand required a bond for landing fees.

Submitter: Dr John Palmer (32791)

Topic: Dr Palmer spoke about the rates increase which he believed was putting an unfair burden on the lower socio-economic community. Dr Palmer was against cycleways being imposed and would like to see lower speed limits used instead.

Ali Boswijk (32823) – did not attend.

The meeting was adjourned at 12 noon and reconvened at 12:50pm

Submitter: William (Bill) Byrne (32847) **Birchhill Partnership**

Topic: Waimea River Park Management Plan. Mr Byrne wanted a change to the conditions of the berm land lease, with a lease term of 15-20 years.

Submitter: Lucinda Blackley-Jimson (32897), Stephen Broad-Paul and Belinda Wheatley, **Tasman Bays Heritage Trust | Nelson Provincial Museum** – Tabled Document

Topic: Requested extra funding of \$1.5 million in 2025/2026 for the new Arts & Heritage Facility.

Questions: In response to a question, Belinda Wheatley noted that there was an overall contingency of 13% plus 15% for construction for the new facility. The Museum Trust was also looking at funding from the Ministry of Arts, Culture and Heritage and from iwi.

Jason West (32905) Precision Regrinds – did not attend

Submitter: Peter Harman on behalf of **Swimming Nelson Marlborough** (32998)

Topic: Proposed Motueka Swimming Pool.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Harman said that a six-lane, 25 metre pool would be sufficient for local swimming events.

Submitter: David Kemp (33009)

Topic: Providing public seating to promote socialising in public places. Mr Kemp had investigated public seating options. Older people knew they needed to get out but there were no areas to socialise. He would like a more proactive policy that every park had a decent seating area for people to sit.

Submitter: Fiona Wilson and Sarah Jane Weir **Nelson Regional Development Agency (NRDA)** (33027)

Topic: Requesting further Council funding support for regional economic development.

Questions: In response to a question, Mrs Wilson advised that NRDA invested around 40% of its funding on promoting tourism. She noted that the NRDA maintained relationships with both major Government parties at all times with regular briefings sent to all Members of Parliament. Prior to the Covid pandemic, NRDA funding from the private sector was around \$150,000 and staff were currently working on building up this funding source again.

Submitter: Felicity Palmer, Marie Lindaya, Mary Bronsteter, and Ramiesha Perera on behalf of **Multicultural Nelson Tasman** (33029) – Tabled Document

Topic: Multicultural Nelson Tasman supported the Welcoming Communities Officer employed by Tasman District Council and would like more Council investment in this area.

Submitter: Kevin McManus on behalf of **Motueka Aero Club Incorporated** (33040)

Topic: Proposed increase in charges at Motueka aerodrome. Mr McManus noted that the club was happy to work with the Council to understand where the aerodrome costs lay.

Submitter: Mason Fitzgerald on behalf of **Kaiteriteri Recreation Reserve Board** (33075)

Topic: Mr Fitzgerald suggested that the proposed rates increase was still relatively reasonable. He would like a breakdown of spending relating to what was “necessary” and what was “opportunity”. He requested that the Council extend the eBus service over summer to relieve traffic congestion.

Questions: In response to a question regarding the eBus service, Mr Fitzgerald agreed that extending the current Motueka service would work in terms of timing for visitors to Kaiteriteri.

Submitter: Timo Neubauer (33091)

Topic: Mr Neubauer wanted the Council to focus on long term investment efficiency. Urban sprawl was expensive and failing. The Council needed to make better use of existing infrastructure in existing urban areas.

Submitter: Dr James Griffiths (33094)

Topic: Dr Griffiths wanted the Council to continue its investment in climate adaptation and mitigation. He would also like the Council to consider a park and ride system between Richmond and Nelson. He spoke about the issues with clear fell forestry harvesting which was likely to increase the occurrence of landslides and subsequent impacts on water supplies. Dr Griffiths would like the Council to develop of a good “set of rules” regarding the management of forestry on Separation Point granites, and prosecutions if the rules were not followed.

Submitter: Mathias Schaeffner (33096)

Topic: Schaeffner spoke about the importance of the Council’s financial viability.

Submitter: Dr Bruno Lemke on behalf of **Nelson Tasman Community Transport Trust** (33102)

Councillor Walker left the table during this submission.

Topic: While the Trust was delighted with the eBus service, there were still some gaps. The Trust would like to receive its previous Council funding plus an extra 10% to fund the Tapawera bus service.

Submitter: Bruno Bosnan on behalf of **Fulton Hogan Ltd** (33114)

Topic: Fulton Hogan’s submission focused on the increasing cost of gravel extraction and the management of soil. Gravel extracted from berm lands was more costly than straight gravel extraction from the river and the Council should reconsider a single extraction rate.

There was an issue with the classification of clean fill versus hard fill (waste) being sent to landfill.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Bosnan said that Fulton Hogan did not extract gravel from the Upper Motueka River because it was too costly. Mr Bosnan

agreed that a sustainable landfill area where class 3 or 4 materials could be disposed of was desirable.

Submitter: Emma McCashin on behalf of **Fairmont View Limited** (33129)

Topic: Proposed increase in Development Impact Levies. Ms McCashin would like to see the proposed increase staged over three years.

Submitter: Scott Burnett on behalf of **Forest & Bird** (33140)

Topic: Forest & Bird supported the Council's climate strategy and action plan. However, they would like stronger rules around sedimentation and its impacts, also more enforcement of sedimentation issues, including rules around forestry harvesting that contributes to sedimentation.

The Trust supported the proposed cat management bylaw. The Trust would like see better management of dogs and vehicles on beaches.

Submitter: Colin Garnett (33147)

Topic: Urged the Council to retain a static debt limit. Also to revisit the Waimea dam zone of benefit.

Submitter: Sky Davies on behalf of **Tasman Environmental Trust** (33171)

Topic: The Trust currently supported over 25 projects in the region. They were looking for new funding streams to carry on the momentum. They were willing to help the Council deliver projects and had asked for a small increase in funding support from the Council.

Submitter: Toby Beesley on behalf of the **Public Service Association** (PSA) (32808)

Topic: Supported Option A of the Council's financial sustainability proposal. Did not support any cuts to Council services.

Questions: Mr Beesley agreed that pay increases in other sectors were much higher than those of local government staff.

Submitter: Kate Malcolm on behalf of **NELSUST** (33158)

Topic: Nelsust would like the Council to encourage higher density housing, with no more consents for greenfield developments.

Submitter: Leigh Manson and Shane Graham on behalf of **Establishing a Regional Community Development Agency (33173)**

Topic: Establishment of a regional community development agency was supported by the eight iwi of Te Taihū.

Questions: In response to a question, it was noted that the group had received \$75,000 from Lotteries Grant funding.

Submitter: Roger Batt on behalf of **Waimea South Historical Society Incorporated 1981 (33174)** – Tabled Document

Topic: Mr Batt said that twenty five heritage buildings were not listed in the Tasman Resource Management Plan. Heritage values were not discussed in the Long Term Plan. Tasman District Council should employ a heritage advisor and heritage grants should be increased.

Submitter: Nicki & Halena Berkett (32144)

Topic: In support of the addition of an inclusive playground to be sited at Saxton Field. There were hundreds of “disabled” children who needed to use this playground equipment.

Submitter: Julie Catchpole and Judy Finn on behalf of **Suter Art Gallery Te Aratoi o Whakatū Trust (32890)** – Tabled Document

Topic: The Suter’s goal was to ensure a sustainable future for the entity. The Trust would like the Council to consider an increase to its funding grant for the 2024-2025 year and an annual adjustment thereafter.

Questions: In response to a question about lower revenues for their Trust, it was agreed that this would mean exhibitions would stop, more fundraising would be required and the Suter Gallery would need to look at reducing its costs.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:53pm and reconvened at 4:03pm.

Submitter: Michael Arbuckle (32237)

Topic: Mr Arbuckle spoke about the increase in river rates to 700% above last year’s rates. He wanted an exemption to their property which was not at risk of flooding.

Questions: In response to a question, it was noted that there were other properties similarly affected by this river rates increase.

Submitter: Leeson Baldey on behalf of **Warmer Healthier Homes Te Tau Ihu Charitable Trust (32283)**

Topic: Funding support – would like the Council to continue support of \$20,000 per annum for the next three years.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Baldey said that that the Trust worked across the District. While there had been a slight slowdown in demand, the Trust

insulated about 350 houses per year. He also noted that the new Government may reduce its current contribution for this work.

Submitter: Aaron Adcock and Garry Adcock on behalf of **Kohatu Park** (32377), (32594) and (32405) Tabled Document

Topic: They would like to work in partnership with the Council for the ongoing development of Kohatu Park. They noted that further work was required on upgrading roads leading to the park.

Questions: In response to a question about other funding support for the park, Mr Adcock said that there had been little support from Nelson City Council.

Mr Adcock undertook to provide a copy of the group's business plan to the Council.

Submitter: Ralph Bradley on behalf of **Top of the South Dark Sky Committee** (32287)

Topic: The Council's ten year plan did not mention the night sky and the mitigation of light pollution. The Council had previously agreed to introduce policies to protect the night sky park and this hadn't happened.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Bradley said it was very difficult to identify where the extra lighting was coming from, however developments in areas such as Berryfields, Wakefield and Brightwater did contribute. Mr Bradley noted that the lighting in the new development in Maisey Road helped to protect the night sky by using down-lighting.

Submitter: Anna Naygrow (32315)

Topic: Wakefield South Community Facility, Ms Naygrow supported the project which would be of immense value to the community.

Questions: In response to a question, Ms Naygrow agreed that the community would be able to meet the fundraising targets for the new facility.

Submitter: Douglas Jackson on behalf of **Skate Nelson Bays** a subbranch of Nelson Host Lions (32386) – Tabled Document

Topic: They proposed regional skatepark facility. The group was asking both councils to contribute to the facility.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Jackson said that the Lions Club would also help with funding.

Submitter: Mark Morris (32645) **Davis Ogilvie & Associates Ltd** – Tabled Document

Topic: They would like the Council to look at its consenting process to see how it could be improved, especially in terms of environment and planning, in particular the assessment of environmental effects.

Submitter: Tony Lindbom on behalf of **Davis Ogilvie & Associates Ltd** (32766)

Topic: The proposed Development Impact Levies increase would increase housing costs. They suggested the Council delay the increase and stage it in over time.

Submitter: Graeme Dick (33108) – Tabled Document

Topic: Proposed increase in Development Impact Levies.

Submitter: Claire Parkes on behalf of **88 Valley Rural Water Scheme Committee** (33189)

Topic: The Committee wanted to retain its own water supply scheme rather than what was proposed. They felt the scheme was unaffordable.

Submitter: **Waimea Youth Council** (33150)

Topic: The Youth Council would like a safe space that was inclusive, where students could socialise and where everyone felt welcome. Ideally, the space would be open after school and on weekends.

Questions: In response to a question, they said students currently hung out at the Richmond Mall, the Richmond Library and Washbourn Gardens. Having a space at the schools was not an option as a lot of kids didn't like being around the school environment.

Submitter: Iain Sheves on behalf of **Wakatū Incorporation** (33152)

Topic: Wakatū would like both councils to combine their funding aimed at climate change mitigation. The proposed increases to Development Impact Levies were unsustainable. Wakatū would like the Council to look at other delivery mechanisms and external funding for the proposed Motueka wastewater treatment plant.

Submitter: Mrs Jane du Feu and Sonny Alasena on behalf of Te Tau Ihu o Te Waka a Maui Māori Cultural Council (32895) – Attachment

Topic: Council funding support for Te Matatini cultural events proposed for Te Taihu.

Questions: In response to a question, Mrs du Feu confirmed that the organising team was already communicating with Air New Zealand and the Wellington-Picton ferry operators regarding travel demands for the thousands of visitors that would visit Te Taihu.

The meeting was adjourned at 5.32 pm.

Day 1 – Tabled documents

Attachment 1 Waimea South Community Facility Charitable Trust (32258)

Attachment 2 Tasman Bays Heritage Trust | Nelson Provincial Museum

Attachment 3 Multicultural Nelson-Tasman

Attachment 4 Waimea South Historical Society

Attachment 5 Suter Art Gallery

Attachment 6 Kohatu Park Business Plan

Attachment 7 Skate Nelson Bays

Attachment 8 Mr Mark Morris, Davis Ogilvie & Associates Ltd

Attachment 9 Graeme Dick

Attachment 10 Te Tau Ihu o Te Waka a Maui Māori Cultural Council

Confirmed

MINUTES

of the

TASMAN DISTRICT COUNCIL MEETING

Long Term Plan 2024-2034 Submissions Hearing – Day 2

Kaunihera Katoa

held

10.30 am, Thursday, 9 May 2024

at

Tasman Council Chamber, 78 Commercial Street, Tākaka

Present: Deputy Mayor S Bryant (Chairperson), Councillors C Butler, G Daikee, B Dowler, J Ellis, M Greening (via Zoom), C Hill, M Kininmonth, K Maling, C Mackenzie, B Maru and T Walker

In Attendance: Group Manager - Community Infrastructure (R Kirby), Group Manager - Environmental Assurance (K Drummond), Senior Community Policy Advisor (B Wayman), Executive Assistant and Advisor to the Mayor (R Scherer), Team Leader - Democracy Services (E Stephenson) and Democracy Services Advisor (K McLean)

The meeting was reconvened at 10.30 am.

1 OPENING, WELCOME

Deputy Mayor Bryant welcomed everyone to the meeting and invited Councillor Hill to offer the opening karakia.

2 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Apologies had been received from Mayor T King and Councillor D Shallcrass.

**Moved Councillor Hill/Councillor Dowler
CN24-05-23**

That the apologies be accepted from Mayor T King and Councillor D Shallcrass.

CARRIED

Submissions

Submitter: Jane Petterson and David Ogilvie on behalf of **Abbeyfield Golden Bay Committee** (32793)

Topic: Pan charges - over 50% of Abbeyfield's rate bill was pan charges alone. Abbeyfield was not a commercial entity, it was a household run as a charity, and a community housing provider. It was unfair that Abbeyfield was being charged a commercial pan charge.

Questions: In response to a question, Ms Petterson said that Abbeyfield was paying more rates than similar housing providers in New Zealand. She noted that residents rented the property from Abbeyfield.

Submitter: Cynthia McConville on behalf of **Forest & Bird Golden Bay** (33117) – Document Tabled

Topic: Forest & Bird would like the Council to set funding aside for the development of a shared path in Tākaka. They also requested that the Council provide a full time dog control officer in Golden Bay and that it fund public presentations on the importance of shore birds.

Questions: In response to a question, Ms McConville didn't know how much a shared path would cost to develop. She noted that fencing off bird nesting areas did work.

Submitter: Debbie Pearson (32583)

Topic: Mrs Pearson spoke about the Council's forestry assets and suggested that native forestry was preferred. She urged the Council to be bold in its plans for public transport with more frequent services to reduce congestion and the use of fossil fuels.

Ms Pearson suggested that the proposed upgrade at Port Tarakohe upgrade would mean more heavy traffic use and the need to protect pedestrians and cyclists. She encouraged the Council to make spending on climate change mitigation a priority. She supported the continuation of the community grants scheme and endorsed the proposed community development agency.

Questions: In response to a question, Mrs Pearson said that she was happy with the proposed rates increase.

Submitter: William Wallis (32311)

Topic: Boat launching fees at Port Tarakohe. Mr Wallis would like a safer launching area at the port, preferably with a floating launch dock. He suggested that recreational users at the port were being disadvantaged.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Wallis said that the current floating platform was owned by the boat club and was not usable.

Submitter: Terry Easthope (32654)

Topic: Proposed increase in landing fees at the Tākaka aerodrome. The aerodrome was a strategic community asset and commercial users should be levied differently. Mr Easthope suggested that a different management model was required for this asset and it should be returned to a local Trust.

Questions: In response to a question about a staged fee increase, Mr Easthope said he would like to know what users would get for the increase and questioned what the future plans were for development of the aerodrome.

Submitter: Martin Potter on behalf of **Tarakohe Marina Association** (32701) and (32956)

Topic: Mr Potter spoke about the proposed increase in charges for berth holders at Port Tarakohe. He noted that the fees had increased between 12-18% last year and now the Council was proposing an increase between 5-10% this financial year. Mr Potter suggested that the development at Port Tarakohe would only benefit the commercial users. Recreational users still had no ablution facilities which the Council promised would be in place by the end of 2023.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Potter advised that the Port Tarakohe Users Group had met with staff and they were aware of the recreational users' concerns.

Submitter: Richard Lamb on behalf of **Lamb Contracting Ltd** (33085)

Topic: Mr Lamb spoke about the proposed extra costs for recreational users at Port Tarakohe and asked that the Council set fees on what was actually used. He noted that the cost to rent a berth at the Nelson Marina was \$4500 per annum compared with the proposed \$7200 per annum for Port Tarakohe.

Submitter: Richard Molloy – **Golden Bay Air** – (33036)

Topic: Proposed increase in landing fees at **Tākaka aerodrome**. Mr Molloy said that he didn't object to paying landing fees but would like any increases introduced incrementally.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Molloy confirmed that there was a gap in the communication channels with the Council and that this proposed increase in landing fees had not been discussed with the users. Mr Molloy also noted that the Council would need to be very careful if it introduced different landing fees between commercial and recreation users.

Submitter: Teresa James (33023)

Topic: Ms James spoke about the Council's investment in climate change and urged that it not delay investment in this issue. She also spoke about the opportunity for the Council to lead in climate education.

Submitter: Abbie Langford on behalf of **Golden Bay Community Board** (32512)

Topic: The Golden Bay Community Board appreciated the challenges facing the Council. The Board supported the proposed swimming pool facility at Motueka which would also benefit Golden Bay residents. The Board requested that the shared path between Tākaka and Pōhara be sealed. The Board would also like a skatepark in Tākaka and for the Council to work on resilience planning for the District after weather events. The Board did not support asset sales.

Questions: In response to a question, Ms Langford agreed that a compacted surface would be acceptable on the shared path between Tākaka and Pōhara. The Board would also like to see more safety barriers installed between the road and the shared path.

The meeting was adjourned at 11.40 am and reconvened at 11.50 am.

The following submitters joined the meeting via Zoom.

Submitter: Gael Gordon on behalf of **Nelson Tasman Business Trust (32142)**
Councillor Maling declared a conflict of interest and did not participate in the discussion.

Topic: The Trust appreciated the funding support provided by the Council. The Trust had several commendations from sole traders who appreciated the Trust's work as it provided opportunities for them to meet and learn from others and made them feel they were not on their own.

Submitter: Marg Braggins on behalf of **Golden Bay Promotion Association (32727)**

Topic: Ms Braggins noted the Association's appreciation that the Council had inflation adjusted its funding for the next financial year. She urged the Council to continue to support the Nelson Regional Development Agency. She also asked the Council to develop a tourism strategy which should be included on the Council's website.

Questions: In response to a question, Ms Braggins said that members of the Association pay a fee of \$200 per year. She noted that around 30,000 people visited the centre each year seeking information on what to do in Golden Bay.

Submitter: Marg Braggins on behalf of **Golden Bay Community Arts Council (32729)**

Topic: The Council provided funding of \$9,000 per annum which was supplied by Creative New Zealand. Ms Braggins would like to know how that funding was managed by the Council and where it went. The Community Arts Council would also like the Council to develop an Arts and Culture strategy. She noted that the Arts Council rented a building from the Council and they would like the rent to be refunded. She noted that there were internal flooding issues with the building.

Submitter: Christine Sumner on behalf of **SPCA (33181)**

Topic: The SPCA was concerned about a decline in the desexing and microchipping of cats. Ms Sumner noted that cost was the biggest barrier for people to have their pets desexed and microchipped.

Questions: In response to a question, Ms Sumner said that the SPCA could help with desexing and microchipping of cats if the cat bylaw was approved. She noted that the cost of purchasing a cat from the SPCA included the cost of desexing and microchipping the animal.

Submitter: Donald Horn (32771)

Topic: Mr Horn spoke about the Council's financial strategy and the debt cap. He suggested that the Council save ratepayers money on the 'nice to have' facilities because more ratepayers disposable income would be absorbed by rates, and they would have less to spend on local goods and services.

Mr Horn questioned the affordability of the proposed Motueka Swimming Pool and questioned whether community fundraising so far reflected community support for the pool. He suggested that around 87% of residents were unlikely to use an indoor pool. He asked the Council to look at the operational deficit of the Richmond Aquatic Centre and what information the Council had provided to the community about alternative options. He suggested that the Council could offer free travel from Motueka to Richmond for pool users.

Mr Horn commented that Council staff were an easy target and were subject to general criticism. In his experience Council staff were unfailingly helpful and courteous.

Submitter: Charlie Draper (32988)

Topic: Proposed increase in aerodrome landing fees at Motueka and Tākaka Aerodromes. Mr Draper referred to a similar situation where landing fees were raised at the Ashburton aerodrome and subsequently 1700 landings a year were lost involving more costs to the local ratepayers.

Submitter: Fraser May and Luke Donaldson on behalf of **Civil Contractors NZ** (33039)

Topic: Civil Contractors believed that the Council's stance on gravel extraction and clean fill disposal were major issues. They would like the Council to carry out a peer review of the science used to assess the proposed charges for gravel extraction and clean fill disposal. They noted that they were happy to work with the Council to find agreeable solutions to these issues.

Questions: In response to a question, the submitters noted that the classification of clean fill material was an issue. They suggested that while Class 4 material was different, it was not contaminated and could be used as clean fill.

Submitter: Fred Overmars (33065)

Topic: Mr Overmars spoke about investment in fossil fuels. He asked if the Council's bankers were climate friendly.

Submitter: Steve Udy on behalf of **Tapawera & Districts Community Council** (32697)

Topic: Mr Udy spoke in support of the proposed Tapawera Community Hub.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Udy said that community feedback on the proposed community hub had been overwhelmingly in support of the facility. He agreed that there had been some dissent but that was mainly around nostalgia for the existing building. Mr Udy said that a new building would solve a number of issues in the Tapawera community and ensure that it could thrive in the future. He noted that current community buildings were aging and in need of major repairs.

Submitter: Simon Wall on behalf of **Apollo Projects** (33178)

Topic: Apollo Projects was a specialist design and build company that worked with councils to build public facilities like swimming pools.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Wall confirmed that Apollo had worked on a number of projects in the Nelson-Tasman region. Apollo Projects would be happy to talk to the Council about how the concept of design and build worked.

Submitter: Dale MacDonald (32472)

Topic: Proposed Wakefield South Community facility. Mrs MacDonald worked for Paralympics New Zealand. Tasman had a lack of facilities for paralympic athletes and she supported the use of accessible design for the proposed community facility.

Questions: In response to a question, Mrs MacDonald said she had already had very good discussions with the Wakefield South Community Facility Trust around using accessible design in the building. She also agreed that there would be additional funding opportunities if the facility catered for people with disabilities.

Councillor Hill offered the closing karakia.

The meeting was adjourned at 12.58pm.

Tabled Documents

Attachment 1 Golden Bay Forest and Bird

MINUTES

of the

TASMAN DISTRICT COUNCIL MEETING

Long Term Plan 2024-2034 Submissions Hearing – Day 3

Kaunihera Katoa

held

9.30 am, Friday, 10 May 2024

at

Motueka Library, Wallace Street, Motueka

Present: Mayor T King (Chairperson), Deputy Mayor S Bryant (Deputy Chairperson), Councillors C Butler, G Daikee, B Dowler, J Ellis, M Greening (via Zoom), C Hill, M Kininmonth, K Maling, C Mackenzie, B Maru and T Walker

In Attendance: Chief Executive Officer (L Rae), Chief Operating Officer (S Manners), Group Manager - Community Infrastructure (R Kirby) (via Zoom), Group Manager - Environmental Assurance (K Drummond), Group Manager – Service and Strategy (J Ridd), Kaihautū (R Thomas) (part), Senior Community Policy Advisor (B Wayman), Executive Assistant and Advisor to the Mayor (R Scherer), Team Leader - Democracy Services (E Stephenson) and Democracy Services Advisor (K McLean)

The meeting was reconvened at 9.30 am.

2 OPENING, WELCOME

Mayor King welcomed everyone to the meeting.

2 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Moved Deputy Mayor Bryant/Councillor Maling

CN24-05-24

That an apology be accepted from Councillor D Shallcrass.

CARRIED

Submissions

Speaker: Councillor Dowler read a statement on behalf of Stuart Bean (32378)

Topic: Mr Bean's submissions referred to the proposed Motueka Aerodrome fee increase, road surfacing especially chip seal which he believed creates unreasonable noise, and the unnecessary use of road cones.

Speaker: Paul Heywood on behalf of **Rotary Club of Motueka** (32276)

Topic: Proposed Motueka swimming pool. Mr Heywood noted that the Rotary Club strongly supported the proposed Motueka swimming pool and they had already raised money for the project. Mr Heywood thanked the Council and staff for what they had done so far. The Rotary Club would continue to support the proposed pool and looked forward to working with the Council on this important project for Motueka.

Speaker: Kevenegy Fourie (32402) **HeapsMOREnergy**

Topic: Mr Fourie spoke about the importance of solar energy and noted that the Motueka Library was totally solar powered. Mr Fourie also spoke about energy poverty and suggested that the Council could use the excess energy generated at the Library for community good.

Speaker: Graham Boyd (32420)

Topic: Mr Boyd referred to the financial pressures on ratepayers. He spoke in support of the proposed Motueka Swimming Pool noting that health was important to the Motueka community.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Boyd said the Motueka High School pool was very old and not fit for purpose. Mr Boyd agreed that funds from Golden Bay would be appreciated towards the Motueka swimming pool project.

Speaker: Isobel Mosley and Paul Mosley on behalf of **Motueka Heritage Wharf Restoration Group** (32428)

Topic: The Motueka Heritage Wharf was disintegrating and urgently needed work to restore this important Council and community asset. The Wharf was a Grade 2 listed heritage site.

Speaker: Susan Creedy (32455)

Topic: Mrs Creedy noted that she did not support the proposed Tapawera Community Hub which she believed was not needed. She suggested that the funding for the hub would be better directed at the proposed Motueka Swimming Pool.

Questions: In response to a question, Mrs Creedy said that she did not believe that there was community support for the hub.

Speaker: Terina Graham and Nick Hughes on behalf of **Motueka Community Board** (33199)

Topic: Ms Graham acknowledged that the Council had to make some tough decisions about what should or should not be included in the Long Term Plan. The Board was against the selling of Council assets and agreed that library services should not be reduced. The Board wanted the Council to review staff and contractor requirements. The Board wanted the Council to ensure it had the right information and was diligent before making any decisions on climate change and resilience. The Board supported the Council's investment in community facilities as they had an important role in providing social infrastructure.

Questions: In response to a question, Ms Graham said that the Board preferred Option C for public transport.

Speaker: Mary Nicholls on behalf of **Motueka Arts Council** (32474)

Topic: Ms Nicholls spoke about community grants and emphasised the Arts Council's concerns regarding any reduction in this funding source. Community grants provided one of the few options for community groups to gain funding. Ms Nicholls suggested that instead of the Council having staff initiating and delivering arts activities, they could work alongside organisations like the Arts Council and support them in working efficiently together to deliver outcomes. Ms Nicholls would also like inflation to be included in any grants. The Arts Council supported the weekend bus services between Motueka, Richmond and Nelson and would like to see Saturday services included so that families could attend sports events.

Questions: In response to a question about working with the Council on arts events, Ms Nicholls said that Council staff needed to connect with arts groups to organise events under one umbrella.

Speaker: Mark Woodhouse (32523)

Topic: Mr Woodhouse spoke about the proposed increase in landing fees at Motueka and Tākaka aerodromes which he felt was unreasonable. He would like to see the fees increase in line with other aerodromes around New Zealand. There were only two that charged \$30 per landing, and both were much larger commercial operations with better facilities.

Mr Woodhouse suggested that the proposed increase would have unintended consequences in that the activity level at the aerodromes would reduce. Both aerodromes were important strategic assets for the region, especially in times of natural disaster.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Woodhouse agreed that the Council should differentiate the costs between commercial and hobby flyers. He noted that the current landing fees had been in place since 2019.

Speaker: Greg Wood (32570)

Topic: Mr Wood was opposed to the proposed increase in the Motueka Aerodrome landing fees. Mr Wood said that use of the aerodrome during emergency events was important. He suggested that a reasonable landing fee and the option of Paywave or online payments would also be helpful.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Wood said that he would prefer a bulk landing fee.

Speaker: Rob Steel and Jesse Peterson on behalf of **Kāinga Ora** (32650)

Topic: Kāinga Ora owned 196 properties in Tasman District and had an ongoing plan for the development of public housing. Kāinga Ora supported the proposal to amend the Household Unit of Demand discount especially if it encouraged smaller houses. They suggested that the Council put in place a discount on levies for smaller homes.

Questions: In response to a question about developers being keen to sell sections to Kāinga Ora, Mr Peterson said he would find out and advise the Council.

Submitter: Amanda Beer (32331)

Topic: Proposed Tapawera Community Hub. Ms Beer said that Tapawera was a great community with lots of small and large social groups. The current community facility was not fit for purpose and was unsafe. Ms Beer suggested that it would be easy for the community to fundraise for the hub.

Questions: In response to a question, Ms Beer said that it was intended, that current community activities would move to the new hub.

Submitter: Ms Adele Lockwood on behalf of **Tasman Bays Promotions Association** (32621) – Tabled Document

Topic: Ms Lockwood managed the Motueka iSite. She acknowledged the Council's support of the Association and asked that it consider three funding options for the Association to continue its work in Motueka and the Tasman District.

Subject: In response to questions, Ms Lockwood said that the Association was reimbursed for the work it carried out on behalf of the Department of Conservation. She noted that the Association was keen to have more flexibility so that it could offer its resources more strategically, for example when a cruise ship arrived in Nelson, the Association could go to them.

Submitter: Richard Horrell– **Good Sports Motueka** (33153) and (32646)

Topic: Mr Horrell spoke in support of the proposed Motueka swimming pool. He said Motueka was the largest town in New Zealand without a heated pool and the project needed to be completed. He noted that without a swimming pool, competitive swimmers were forced to travel long distances to attend practices. He referred to the amount of valuable horticultural products that were produced in the area and exported overseas and with this high turnover, Motueka deserved a high quality recreation facility for use by young and old.

The meeting was adjourned at 10.53 am and reconvened at 11.06am.

Submitter: Tony Peacock and Michelle Pugh on behalf of **Murchison Sport, Recreation and Cultural Centre** (32710) – Tabled Document

Topic: Mr Peacock referred to the proposed Stage 2 development of the Murchison Sport, Recreation and Cultural Centre. He asked the Council to push its funding for this extension up the list of Council community facility projects. He noted that bookings and usage of the Centre were increasing year by year and at some times, the staff had had to turn people away. The small gym was not fit for purpose and it was promised that a new squash court would be built. Mr Peacock noted that the Murchison community had already demonstrated its ability to fundraise for its community facilities.

Questions: In response to a question, Mrs Pugh confirmed that the staff at the recreation centres around Tasman District worked collectively. Mr Peacock agreed that the Centre could use the revenue from the gym to raise funds for the proposed extension. He added that the community would be able to raise the necessary funds in the 2026-2027 financial year.

Submitter: Chris Sutton – **Motueka District Museum Trust Board** – (32110)

Topic: Ms Sutton noted that the Museum Trust had a critical need for a building for storage space. The Museum had had growth in visitor numbers, including more international visitors. The Museum had a duty to save artefacts for the next generation, but they must be stored and catalogued carefully. Currently the Trust was leasing four garages but this situation was not sustainable. The Trust would like to use the former Motueka Library for storage. There was also the option to lease the Laura Ingram Kindergarten building which would be perfect for the Museum.

Submitter: Martin Whyte (32725) – Tabled Document

Topic: Mr Whyte felt that decreasing Council debt should be a priority. He suggested that Council staffing numbers were too high. Mr Whyte referred to affordable housing and the increase in compliance costs. He suggested that electric vehicles were not viable and should not be included in the Council's vehicle fleet. Mr Whyte suggested that the Council should allow the use of Council land for community housing.

Submitter: Fred Hickling on behalf of **Motueka Community Swimming Pool Committee** (32796) – Ross Loveridge presented on behalf.

Topic: Mr Loveridge thanked the Council for its commitment to the proposed Motueka Swimming Pool project. He noted that there had been great community support with \$250,000 already raised. He noted that local businesses were committed to in-kind donations when the building started. The proposed pool would become a community hub with huge benefits to the community. He suggested that the pool should be an 8-lane pool. He said that while other funders would support the

proposed pool, the Committee wanted as much Council assistance as it could get.

Submitter: David Ogilvie on behalf of **Abbeyfield Motueka Management Committee** (32809)

Topic: Mr Ogilvie spoke about the high pan charges that Abbeyfield was being asked to pay. He noted that Abbeyfield was essentially one household of 11 residents, and that paying for 13 pans was unreasonable. He suggested that a charge for two pans would be appropriate.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Ogilvie said that the Abbeyfield housing model provided one kitchen with each resident having their own bedroom and ensuite.

Submitter: David Ogilvie (32811)

Mr Ogilvie noted that Tasman District Council celebrated its 35th anniversary in April 2024.

Topic: Mr Ogilvie referred to the increasingly urgent need for more stormwater infrastructure in Motueka. He noted that the Long Term Plan allowed for funding of \$28.6 million for stormwater in Richmond and only \$2.3 million in Motueka. He urged the Council to adjust this disproportion in the stormwater budget.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Ogilvie agreed that he supported the increased costs in the Long Term Plan.

Submitter: Nina Jefferies & Ricarda Scherschel **Motueka Community Development Trust Board** (32817).

Topic: The Trust would like the Council to help provide a safe place for youth in Motueka. They spoke about the large number of disengaged rangatahi in the area and would like the Council to support the development of a youth development centre. The Trust knew of models that worked very well for youth development, where guidance could be provided and trust and relationships built. The Trust was asking for funding of \$20,000 to support operations and professional development for staff.

Questions: In response to a question, it was noted that there was a 9.7% truancy rate in Motueka. The Trust currently received funding from the Department of Internal Affairs but this funding was finite meaning an unpredictable future for the Trust. It was suggested that the current Government had made it clear that school attendance was vital so central government funding would be key for the Trust.

Submitter: Ian Williamson (32818)

Topic: Mr Williamson was very supportive of the proposed Motueka swimming pool. He spoke about the medical benefits of the proposed hydrotherapy pool. He noted that exercise was extremely important to older people so that they could continue with their everyday activities.

Submitter: Geoff Rowling (32825)

Topic: Mr Rowling spoke about the importance of land reclamation at the Port Motueka causeway to protect the town from rain events. The reclamation would also provide land for servicing of boats and other marine activities. Mr Rowling suggested that if the Council wanted to protect coastal communities, it should have a long term plan for that objective.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Rowling said that reclaiming more land could provide more car parking and servicing of boats could be done elsewhere.

Submitter: Mary Lafrentz (32837)

Topic: Mrs Lafrentz spoke about the Council's friendly towns budget. She noted that student exchanges through the friendly towns initiative were more important than ever but required the Council's support. Mrs Lafrentz also spoke about the Council's relationship with the Fifeshire Foundation and suggested that the Council could do more to support this important community initiative where 100% of the funding raised was returned to the community. She asked that the Foundation was provided with an annual grant in the Long Term Plan. Mrs Lafrentz suggested that the Council's firewood from Rabbit Island could be given to the Foundation for fundraising purposes.

Questions: In response to a question, Mrs Lafrentz confirmed that the Fifeshire Foundation was active in Golden Bay.

Submitter: Elizabeth Bryant (32840)

Topic: Ms Bryant spoke about Libraries as the heart of the community and suggested that they should be open every day of the week. She also spoke in support of the proposed Motueka swimming pool noting that swimming pools provided a holistic approach to health and wellbeing and were of benefit to everyone.

Submitter: Josephine Tucker on behalf of **Grey Power Motueka** (32841)

Topic: Mrs Tucker thanked the Council for the Long Term Plan Consultation document noting that it was easy to read and had been provided on paper. She spoke about the concerns in the community about climate change. Mrs Tucker said that the proposed Motueka swimming pool was very important to the community. She also referred to the Library opening hours and public transport. She urged the Council to retain its rates remission policy.

Submission: Ian Davey (32845)

Topic: Mr Davey referred to the Council's financial strategy and suggested that New Zealand's financial system should return to the days when credit from the Reserve Bank was used to build houses, roads, schools, hospitals and to provide local government finance.

Mr Jeffrey Coulson (32854) – did not attend.

Mrs Julie-Ann Winwood (32882) – did not attend.

Submitter: David Bartle (32919)

Topic: Mr Bartle suggested that the Council needed to have a risk management plan that was visible to the community and which would help the Council make more timely decisions. He said that the Council's climate response strategy was vital and an urgent component of the Council's responsibility. He said that the Council could not ignore all possible income sources.

Submitter: Joanna Santa Barbara on behalf of **Nelson Tasman Climate Forum** (32941)

Topic: Ms Santa Barbara thanked the Council for its work so far in climate mitigation and noted the passion and diligence of Council staff. She referred to global warming and emissions reduction and noted that the Trust was keen to work with the Council on these issues. The Trust would like the Council to provide some funding for its work. Ms Santa Barbara asked the Council to ensure that it did not hold any funds in banks that supported fossil fuels.

Questions: In response to a question, Ms Santa Barbara suggested that the Council should advocate with the central government to control the rate of immigration and subsequently population growth.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:45 pm and reconvened at 1:25 pm.

Mr Mark Stagg on behalf of **Argus Aviation Ltd** (32974) did not attend.

Submitter: Debs Martin on behalf of **The Nature Conservancy/Kotahitanga mō te Taiao Alliance** (32661) (via Zoom)

Topic: Ms Martin acknowledged the Council's support of the Alliance. She noted that the Alliance hoped to present its implementation plan to the Council shortly. The Alliance was look for ongoing and active engagement with the Council and acknowledged the support of Council staff.

Submitter: Michael Ponk (33005)

Topic: Mr Ponk would like to see the Council support people who lived different lifestyles. He referred to the total mobility scheme, the eBus service and electric vehicles.

Submitter: Bruce Kingan (33016)

Topic: Mr Kingan spoke about the proposed increase in landing fees at Motueka aerodrome. Mr Kingan said that the aerodrome was a strategic asset in a strategic location and if the fees increased, people would not use it. He said that

a 10% increase in the landing fees would be acceptable with the annual landing fee set at \$300.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Kingan agreed that if the fees were increased as proposed, he would reduce the amount of time he flew.

Submitter: Steve Hayden (33054)

Topic: Tasman View Road. Mr Hayden noted that he had presented this issue to the Council at the same time three years ago. Tasman View Road was a rough ungraveled track that was not maintained by the Council. Use of the road had grown and the residents were having to spend their own money to maintain it. Some of the road was part of Tasman's Great Taste Trail.

Mr Hayden again requested that the Council to take responsibility for maintaining the road. He invited the Council's Transportation Manager to visit Tasman View Road to discuss the issue with the residents.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Hayden said that up to 10 four wheel drive vehicles, 50-100 cyclists, six horse riders and ten motorcyclists used the road each day of the weekend.

Submitter: Dean Straker and Joanie Wilson on behalf of **Whakarewa Trust - NRAIT** (33083)

Topic: The Whakarewa Trust was a landowner, land user and landlord in Motueka. The Trust suggested that the Te Awhina Marae should benefit with a funding contribution from Tasman District Council. The Trust was concerned about the impacts of climate change and adaptation and resilience had to be at the forefront. The Trust would like to see a climatorium developed in Motueka.

The Trust expected that the Council would consult with it on the proposed Motueka Wastewater Treatment Plant and was open to discussion with the Council on a future partnership for this project. The Trust supported the remission of rates on Māori land. The Trust also wanted to discuss the transportation of water away from Motueka with the Council.

Questions: In response to a question, it was agreed that some funding for the climatorium could come from overseas sources.

Submitter: Giles Douglas Witney on behalf of **Nelson Aviation College** (33101)

Topic: Mr Witney spoke about the proposed increase in landing fees at Motueka and Tākaka aerodromes. He noted that the Nelson Aviation College was one of only four flight schools in New Zealand that provided training to Air New Zealand. The college students did the bulk of their early training at the Motueka Aerodrome. The College was against the proposed increase to the landing fees and Mr Witney asked that the Council continue with the bulk charging option. He said that the College was not against fee increases but proposed that the costs could be spread out over a four year period from the current \$1500 to \$2500 per aircraft per annum which would be fair and reasonable.

Mr Witney also noted that the College also gave back to the Council as it hosted the server that monitored the data for air movements.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Witney agreed that the pilot trainees did a lot of “touch and go” landings. He also agreed that some form of fee increase would be fair but he also wanted the aerodrome to thrive.

Submitter: Michaela Lobban on behalf of Motueka Mai Tawhiti (33107)

Topic: Ms Lobban spoke about the Motueka Mai Tawhiti groups work to create opportunities for Motueka rangatahi. The group was asking for the Council's support in its future plans where they could access their kaupapa on the waterfront.

Questions: In response to a question, Ms Lobban said that the group was predominantly iwi members who connected to Te Āwhina.

Submitter: Ricarda Scherschel on behalf of Weka Peckers Ltd (33130)

Topic: Ms Scherschel acknowledged the Council's support but would like to secure more funding to meet the Council's goal of diverting more waste. Weka Peckers was requesting \$100,000 on top of its funding from the waste minimisation grant.

Questions: In response to a question, Ms Scherschel said that Weka Peckers had deconstructed and recycled three houses so far this year.

Submitter: Trish Palmer (32838) – on behalf of Stanley Brook residents.

Topic: Mrs Palmer commended the Council on its climate change resilience policies and urged it to continue with that work. and community. She spoke about the proposed Motueka swimming pool as a must for the community, a facility that would be truly inclusive for all sectors of the community.

Mrs Palmer acknowledged the community unease about the proposed Tapawera Community Hub, and suggested that the community needed more time to achieve buy-in to the proposal.

Mrs Palmer spoke about the dangerous gravel surface on Sunday Creek Road. She suggested that resilience in the face of climate change was the responsibility of the community.

Submitter: Nick Kingstone on behalf of **Whenua Iti Outdoors** (32612)

Topic: Whenua Iti Outdoors was advocating a partnership approach with both councils through a Memorandum of Understanding. The group was grateful for Council funding received to date.

Questions: In response to a question, Mr Kingstone noted that Whenua Iti's building project was a work in progress. He agreed that any bulk funding of Whenua Iti would replace the current funding received from grants from rates noting that contestable grants were not suited to bigger groups. Mr Kingstone advised that Whenua Iti did receive some central government funding.

The following submitters joined the meeting via Zoom.

Submitter: Cornelia Vervoorn on behalf of the **Nelson-Tasman Equestrian Access Network** (32607)

Topic: The network was asking the Council to review the use of tracks and trails to allow equestrian access. Ms Vervoorn estimated that there were about 1000 horses in Tasman District but over time there had been a considerable loss of horse riding tracks.

The equestrian network would like to see the review of Tasman's Great Taste Trail bylaw changed to allow horses to use the trail. Ms Vervoorn spoke about equestrian use providing a potential source of tourism revenue from horse riders similar to what happened in Hamner.

Questions: In response to a question, Ms Vervoorn agreed that some trails did not provide an ideal riding surface but equestrians could use hoof boots that allowed horses to walk on a range of surfaces. She noted that the equestrian network had already talked to the Tasman Great Taste Trail Trust and, in principle, the Trust did not have issues with horses on parts of the trail or on the grass next to the trail.

Joyce Wylie (33179) did not attend.

Submitter: Axel Downard-Wilke on behalf of **GB Cycle and Walkways Society** (33169)

Topic: Mr Wilke spoke about the plans for development at Port Tarakohe and suggested that the effects of the development on local roads had been overlooked.

He noted that the Society was focusing on the provision of a cycleway/walkway connection between Tākaka and Collingwood. The Society appreciated that this was a significant project and that they would need to work with the New Zealand Transport Agency. The Society would like some funding provision in the Long Term Plan to help achieve this project.

Submitter: Jodi Ellis (32987)

Topic: Ms Ellis said that she was the mother of a special needs child. She had three concerns – climate change, the proposed Motueka swimming pool and the Motueka Library. She noted that the Library facilities had made a huge difference to her daughter and allowed her to blossom. She also supported the proposed swimming pool as it would provide another purpose for her daughter.

Question: In response to a question, Ms Ellis agreed that the option of taking her daughter to swim at the Richmond Aquatic Centre was limited.

Tabled Documents

Attachment 1 Tasman Bay Promotions Association

Attachment 2 Murchison Sport, Recreation and Cultural Centre

Attachment 3 Martin Whyte

7 CLOSING KARAKIA

Kaihautū, Renee Thomas, offered the closing karakia and the meeting concluded at 3.10pm.

Confirmed as a correct record of proceedings by resolution on 20 June 2024.

Moved Councillor Daikee/Councillor Mackenzie

CN24-06-3

That the minutes of the Tasman District Council meeting held on Wednesday, 8 May 2024, be confirmed as a true and correct record of the meeting.

CARRIED